

**AUDIT REPORT  
OF  
HOWARD COUNTY COURT**

**JULY 1, 2005 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2007**

**This document is an official public record of the State of Nebraska, issued by  
the Auditor of Public Accounts.**

**Modification of this document may change the accuracy of the original  
document and may be prohibited by law.**

**Issued on February 4, 2008**

# HOWARD COUNTY COURT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Comments Section</u></b>	
Comment and Recommendation	1
<b><u>Financial Section</u></b>	
Independent Auditors' Report	2 - 3
Financial Statements:	
Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Funds - For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	4
Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Funds - For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6 - 7
<b><u>Government Auditing Standards Section</u></b>	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	8 - 9

# HOWARD COUNTY COURT

## COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

During our audit of Howard County Court, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting that is presented here. The comment and recommendation is intended to improve the internal control over financial reporting in the following area:

### **Segregation of Duties**

Good internal control includes a plan of organization, procedures, and records designed to safeguard assets and provide reliable financial records. A system of internal control should include proper segregation of duties so no one individual is capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.

We noted the office of the County Court had a lack of segregation of duties, as one person was capable of handling all aspects of processing transactions from beginning to end. A lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of possible errors or irregularities; however, due to a limited number of personnel, an adequate segregation of duties is not possible without additional cost. Further, personnel are under the direction of both the Nebraska State Court Administrator and the Presiding Judge.

We have noted this comment in previous audits.

We recommend the County Court and the Nebraska State Court Administrator review this situation. As always, the cost of hiring additional personnel versus the benefit of a proper segregation of duties must be weighed.

It should be noted this report is critical in nature as it contains only our comment and recommendation on the area noted for improvement and does not include our observations on any accounting strengths of the County Court.

Draft copies of this report were furnished to the County Court to provide them an opportunity to review the report and to respond to the comment and recommendation included in this report. The County Court declined to respond.

We appreciate the cooperation and courtesy extended to our auditors during the course of the audit.



## NEBRASKA AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

---

Mike Foley  
State Auditor

Mike.Foley@apa.ne.gov  
P.O. Box 98917  
State Capitol, Suite 2303  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509  
402-471-2111, FAX 402-471-3301  
www.auditors.state.ne.us

### HOWARD COUNTY COURT INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Ms. Janice Walker, State Court Administrator  
Nebraska Supreme Court  
State Capitol, Room 1220  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Howard County Court as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, and June 30, 2006, as listed in the Table of Contents. The financial statements are the responsibility of the County Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

Also, as discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the County Court's Agency Funds activity and does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the assets, liabilities, and results of operations of Howard County Court for the years then ended in conformity with the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions of the Agency Funds of Howard County Court as of June 30, 2007, and June 30, 2006, and the related activity for the fiscal years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 30, 2008, on our consideration of Howard County Court's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Handwritten signature of Timothy J. Channer CPA in black ink.

Assistant Deputy Auditor

January 30, 2008

HOWARD COUNTY COURT  
ST. PAUL, NEBRASKA  
**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**  
**ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS**  
**AGENCY FUNDS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

	Balance July 1, 2006	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2007
<b>ASSETS</b>				
Cash and Deposits	\$ 34,859	\$ 268,895	\$ 270,100	\$ 33,654
<b>LIABILITIES</b>				
Due to State Treasurer:				
Regular Fees	\$ 3,308	\$ 43,602	\$ 42,910	\$ 4,000
Law Enforcement Fees	347	4,540	4,546	341
State Judges Retirement Fund	962	14,350	13,910	1,402
Court Administrative Fees	1,606	25,078	24,148	2,536
Legal Services Fees	1,061	16,488	15,941	1,608
Due to County Treasurer:				
Regular Fines	6,059	101,953	99,706	8,306
Overload Fines	575	1,625	2,125	75
Regular Fees	350	2,887	3,031	206
Due to Municipalities:				
Regular Fines	200	470	670	-
Regular Fees	-	60	60	-
Trust Fund Payable	20,391	57,842	63,053	15,180
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 34,859</b>	<b>\$ 268,895</b>	<b>\$ 270,100</b>	<b>\$ 33,654</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

HOWARD COUNTY COURT  
ST. PAUL, NEBRASKA  
**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**  
**ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS**  
**AGENCY FUNDS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Balance July 1, 2005	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2006
<b>ASSETS</b>				
Cash and Deposits	\$ 35,905	\$ 220,301	\$ 221,347	\$ 34,859
<b>LIABILITIES</b>				
Due to State Treasurer:				
Regular Fees	\$ 4,367	\$ 39,872	\$ 40,931	\$ 3,308
Law Enforcement Fees	498	4,223	4,374	347
State Judges Retirement Fund	994	11,810	11,842	962
Court Administrative Fees	2,201	21,565	22,160	1,606
Legal Services Fees	1,309	13,133	13,381	1,061
Due to County Treasurer:				
Regular Fines	9,056	76,363	79,360	6,059
Overload Fines	500	5,075	5,000	575
Regular Fees	297	5,093	5,040	350
Due to Municipalities:				
Regular Fines	10	540	350	200
Regular Fees	-	20	20	-
Trust Fund Payable	16,673	42,607	38,889	20,391
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 35,905</b>	<b>\$ 220,301</b>	<b>\$ 221,347</b>	<b>\$ 34,859</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

**HOWARD COUNTY COURT**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2007, and June 30, 2006

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**A. Reporting Entity**

The Howard County Court is established by State Statute and is administratively operated through the Court Administrator's Office of the Nebraska Supreme Court, which is part of the State of Nebraska reporting entity. The Statements of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of the County Court reflect only the Agency Funds activity of the County Court; the receipts, and their subsequent disbursement to the appropriate entities for which they were collected. The financial statements do not reflect the personal services expenses of the County Court, which are paid by the Nebraska Supreme Court, or the operating expenses, which are paid by Howard County.

**B. Basis of Accounting**

The accounting records of the County Court Agency Funds are maintained, and the Statements of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions have been prepared, on the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting. Under this basis of accounting, fines, fees, and receipts relating to trust funds are shown as additions to assets and as an increase in the related liability when received. Likewise, disbursements are shown as deductions to assets and a decrease in the related liability when a check is written. This differs from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) which requires the accrual basis of accounting. Under GAAP, Agency Funds would be reported in the Statement of Net Assets. Agency Funds are not reported in the Statement of Changes of Fiduciary Net Assets. Agency Funds are used to report resources held by the reporting government in a purely custodial capacity. Agency Funds typically involve only the receipt, temporary investment, and remittance of fiduciary resources to individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

**2. Deposits and Investments**

Funds held by the County Court are deposited and invested in accordance with rules issued by the Supreme Court as directed by Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 25-2713 R.R.S. 1995. Funds are generally consolidated in an interest-bearing checking account; however, the County Court may order certain trust funds to be invested separately. Any deposits in excess of the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation are required by Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 77-2326.04 R.R.S. 2003 to be secured either by a surety bond or as provided in the Public Funds Deposit Security Act.



**HOWARD COUNTY COURT**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Continued)

**2. Deposits and Investments (Concluded)**

The carrying amounts and bank balances of total deposits, consisted of a checking account and a savings account, were as follows:

	Total Cash and Deposit <u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Cash Amount</u>	Deposit <u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Bank Balance</u>
June 30, 2007	\$ 33,654	\$ -	\$ 33,654	\$ 33,740
June 30, 2006	\$ 34,859	\$ -	\$ 34,859	\$ 35,172

These funds were entirely covered by federal depository insurance.



## NEBRASKA AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

---

Mike Foley  
State Auditor

Mike.Foley@apa.ne.gov  
P.O. Box 98917  
State Capitol, Suite 2303  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509  
402-471-2111, FAX 402-471-3301  
[www.auditors.state.ne.us](http://www.auditors.state.ne.us)

### HOWARD COUNTY COURT REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Ms. Janice Walker, State Court Administrator  
Nebraska Supreme Court  
State Capitol, Room 1220  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

We have audited the financial statements of Howard County Court as of and for the years ended June 30, 2007, and June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated January 30, 2008. The report was modified to emphasize that the financial statements present only the Agency Funds of Howard County Court prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Howard County Court's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Court's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Court's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the following deficiency described in the Comments Section of the report to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting: Segregation of Duties.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the County Court's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the significant deficiency described above is a material weakness.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Howard County Court's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts; however, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Supreme Court, others within the Court, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies; however, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.



Assistant Deputy Auditor

January 30, 2008