STATE OF NEBRASKA ATTESTATION REVIEW OF THE NEBRASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEMS DEATH AUDIT PROCEDURES

AS OF JANUARY 31, 2009

This document is an official public record of the State of Nebraska, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts.

Modification of this document may change the accuracy of the original document and may be prohibited by law.

Issued on March 11, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sections</u>	Page
Independent Accountant's Report	1 - 2
Background	3 - 4
Criteria	4
Summary of Procedures	4 - 5
Summary of Results	5 - 12
Overall Conclusion	12
Exhibit A – July 2008 Berwyn Group Report – Benefit Recipient Testing Exhibit B – January 2009 Berwyn Group Report – Benefit Recipient Testing Exhibit C – July 2008 Berwyn Group Report – Inactive Member Testing Exhibit D – January 2009 Berwyn Group Report – Inactive Member Testing Exhibit E – January 2009 Berwyn Group Report – Invalid Social Security N	g umber Testing
Exhibit F – January 2009 Berwyn Group Report – Mismatched Social Secur	itv Numbers



NEBRASKA AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Mike Foley State Auditor Mike.Foley@nebraska.gov P.O. Box 98917 State Capitol, Suite 2303 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509 402-471-2111, FAX 402-471-3301 www.auditors.state.ne.us

Independent Accountant's Report

Citizens of the State of Nebraska:

We have reviewed the Nebraska Public Employees Retirement Systems (NPERS) procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts as of January 31, 2009. NPERS' management is responsible for the procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts. Management did not provide us a written assertion regarding such matters.

Our review was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. A review is substantially less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, the items noted in the Summary of Results section of the report came to our attention that caused us to believe that NPERS had not performed procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts, in all material respects, in conformity with the criteria set forth in the Criteria section.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to NPERS' procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our review. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We did not perform our review for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over NPERS' procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Our review disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and certain other matters. Those findings, along with the views of management and the identification of significant deficiencies and material weaknesses, are described below in the Summary of Results. A significant deficiency is a deficiency in internal control, or combination of deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria or framework such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the subject matter that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that result in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the subject matter will not be prevented or detected.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Citizens of the State of Nebraska, management of NPERS, others within NPERS, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies; however, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Signed Original on File

Mike Foley Auditor of Public Accounts Krista Davis Audit Manager

March 11, 2009

Background

The Nebraska Public Employees Retirement Systems (NPERS) administers the Nebraska retirement plans (Plans) for School Employees, Judges, State Patrol, State Employees, and County Employees. As part of their duties, NPERS is responsible for the proper payment of benefits to retirees.

NPERS annually retains the death check verification services (death audit) from The Berwyn Group (Berwyn Group). This is to perform comparisons of the members in the Plans against national death records. Per its website at https://www.berwyngroup.com/db/Home.asp, the Berwyn Group states, "We are the Industry Leader in mortality verification (death audits) and new address determination (locator services) for pension fund and 401(k) administrators, insurance companies, banks, unions, public and municipal employee retirement systems, state teachers retirement systems, investment firms, credit card companies, epidemiology departments and organizations that have a financial interest in knowing the mortality or current addresses of their pensioners, policyholders, beneficiaries, members, account holders, etc." NPERS sends a portion of its member data to the Berwyn Group who in turn matches the data to outside sources, such as the Social Security Administration, Railroad Retirement System, Civil Service Commission, and Department of Defense. The data is matched against social security number, last name, first name, and date of birth. The Berwyn Group then generates a report which includes a listing of individuals matched against the national death records and also a listing of individuals with invalid information, such as social security numbers, names, and dates of birth.

NPERS is then responsible for ensuring matched data records are adequately reviewed and resolved. Resolution should include terminating retirement benefits to deceased members, recovering ineligible retirement payments, paying the beneficiary or estate the account balance for members who have not previously received retirement benefits and following up on inaccurate account information.

The Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has noted problems regarding the follow-up and resolution of the death audit report to NPERS in the exit conferences for the annual audit of the NPERS - School Employees, Judges, and State Patrol (SJP) Retirement Plans for 5 of the last 6 years. During the NPERS SJP Retirement Plans audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the APA determined NPERS sent only 43,557 member data records to the Berwyn Group for compilation of the death audit report. NPERS is responsible for over 100,000 member accounts; therefore, all member accounts were not compared to the death records. The Berwyn Group returned a report in July 2008 matching 331 members to the national death records and identifying 78 invalid social security numbers from the NPERS data. NPERS only researched 30 members from the list of 331. Since NPERS did not perform adequate or timely follow-up of all member accounts, the APA performed additional procedures to ensure member accounts were properly handled.

The APA obtained a complete listing of member data from all five Plans as of December 2008, totalling 109,070 members, including:

• Active members (members currently contributing to the Plans)

- Inactive members with account balances (members no longer contributing to the Plans; however, still have an account balance)
- Benefit recipients (retired members receiving benefit payments)

	Active	Inactive	Benefit	Total
Plan Group	Members	Members	Recipients	Members
School Employees	39,931	17,913	15,813	73,657
Judges	156	7	156	319
State Patrol	493	8	362	863
State Employees	17,253	3,904	410	21,567
State Deferred Compensation	2,371	812	-	3,183
County Employees	7,655	1,638	188	9,481
Total	67,859	24,282	16,929	109,070

The APA independently submitted the information to the Berwyn Group for compilation of the death audit report. On January 7, 2009, the Berwyn Group issued a report of results to the APA which matched 100 members to the national death records and identified 47 invalid social security numbers.

Criteria

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-1503 (Reissue 2008) states, "(1) It shall be the duty of the Public Employees Retirement Board: (a) To administer the retirement systems provided for in the County Employees Retirement Act, the Judges Retirement Act, the Nebraska State Patrol Retirement Act, the School Employees Retirement Act, and the State Employees Retirement Act." Good internal control requires NPERS follow up on the member accounts within six months of the date of death or attempt to make contact at least once per year, each year, after being notified of the death. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 69-1307.03 (Reissue 2003) indicates that retirement funds are presumed abandoned after five years. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 69-1310 (Reissue 2003) requires abandoned retirement funds to be remitted to the Nebraska State Treasurer under the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act.

Summary of Procedures

Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-304 (Reissue 2008), the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) conducted an attestation review of NPERS procedures pertaining to the timely follow-up and resolution of deceased member accounts as of January 31, 2009, in accordance with standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The APA's attestation review consisted of the following procedures:

- 1. Obtained the July 2008 death audit report from NPERS.
- 2. Independently submitted member information, as of December 2008, to the Berwyn Group for comparison against its national database of deceased members. Obtained the January 2009 death audit report directly from the Berwyn Group.

3. Tested all benefit recipients, inactive members, and active members listed on the death audit reports noted above as follows:

	July 2008	January 2009	Total
Benefit Recipients	33	49	82
Inactive Members	278	30	308
Active Members	-	9	9
Invalid Accounts*	20	12	32
Total	331	100	431

^{*}These invalid accounts represent social security numbers provided by NPERS but matched by the Berwyn Group to a different individual.

For the benefit recipients, inactive and active members, the APA verified the following:

- The members received the appropriate number of monthly benefit payments. The APA ensured the payment ended in the month following the month of death, or any additional payments made to the member were appropriately refunded to NPERS.
- The benefit payment continued to the beneficiary or estate, upon death of the member, in accordance with the option selected by the member, if applicable.
- Proper action was taken by NPERS in a timely manner. The APA determined whether NPERS followed up on the member accounts within six months of the date of death or attempted to make contact at least once per year, each year, after being notified of the death. The APA also verified that any remaining unpaid balance was sent to unclaimed property after five years, if necessary.
- 4. Reviewed member accounts with invalid social security numbers reported by the Berwyn Group. There were 78 invalid social security numbers reported in the July 2008 Berwyn Group report and 47 invalid social security numbers reported in the January 2009 Berwyn Group report. The Berwyn Group indicated these social security numbers could not be verified as a valid social security number.

Summary of Results

The summary of our attestation review noted the following findings and recommendations:

1. Testing of Benefit Recipient Accounts

NPERS did not have adequate policies or procedures to ensure deceased members accounts were properly followed up and resolved in a timely manner. Additionally, NPERS should submit information to the Berwyn Group semi-annually to ensure they are made aware of deaths timely. The APA tested 82 members who had been receiving benefit payments and found NPERS erroneously paid benefits to deceased members, as follows:

Type of Payment Error	Jı	uly 2008	Janu	uary 2009	Total		
Overpayments (1)	\$	68,669	\$	24,936	\$	93,605	
Beneficiary payments (2)	\$	50,791	\$	3,388	\$	54,179	
Totals	\$	119,460	\$	28,324	\$	147,784	

⁽¹⁾ Overpayments represent payments that should have ceased after the date of death and should be repaid to NPERS.

• The APA identified 33 members receiving benefit payments from the July 2008 Berwyn Group death audit received by NPERS. There were 26 deceased members' accounts that were resolved timely by NPERS and one deceased beneficiary account that had no activity so no further follow up was necessary. However, six members' payments either did not appropriately end in the month following the month of death or were not appropriately suspended after the date of death pending receipt of information from beneficiaries. These payments occurred for a range of four months to 29 months after death. As of January 2009, NPERS had documentation that they were aware of four of the six members' death (the account was not properly resolved for two of the four). The other two members' accounts did not include any correspondence to indicate NPERS had been made aware of the members' death. See Exhibit A for details on each member. Following is a summary of the six members:

							Overpay-	
				Number			ments (O)	
				of	Total		or	
			Date of	Payments	Payments	NPERS	Beneficiary	Paid
		Date of	Last	Past	Past Date	Aware	Payments	Back to
	Plan	Death	Payment	Death	of Death	of Death	(B)	NPERS
1	School	8/28/2006	1/26/2009	29	\$ 52,403	No	0	No
2	School	8/23/2007	1/26/2009	17	\$ 41,471	No	В	No
3	School	1/24/2008	9/24/2008	8	\$ 4,625	Yes	В	No
4	School	5/23/2008	9/24/2008	4	\$ 4,695	Yes	В	No
5	School	12/10/2007	6/24/2008	6	\$ 9,920	Yes	О	Yes
6	School	10/13/2007	9/24/2008	11	\$ 6,346	Yes	0	Yes
		Total Overpay	ments (O)	\$ 68,669				
	To	otal Due to Ben	eficiaries (B)	\$ 50,791				
		Total of	Both		\$ 119,460			

• The APA identified 49 members receiving benefit payments from the January 2009 Berwyn Group death audit report. There were 30 deceased members' accounts that were resolved timely by NPERS. Three of the members were tested with the July 2008 report, noted above. Sixteen members' payments did not appropriately end in the month following the month of

⁽²⁾ Beneficiary payments are payments that were owed to a beneficiary after the date of death, but have continued to be deposited to the members' bank account. Therefore, the APA was unable to determine who actually received the benefit payment. Accounts should be suspended by NPERS until the required information is received from the beneficiary.

death or were not appropriately suspended after the date of death pending receipt of information from beneficiaries. These payments occurred for a range of one month to 25 months after death. As of January 2009, NPERS had documentation that they were aware of 3 of the 16 members' deaths, but the accounts were not properly resolved. The other 13 members' accounts did not include any correspondence to indicate NPERS had been made aware of the members' deaths. See **Exhibit B** for details on each member. The following is a summary of the 16 members:

				Number of Payments	Total Payments	NPERS Aware	Overpay- ments (O) or Beneficiary	Paid
		Date of	Date of Last	Payments	Payments Past Date	of	Payments	Back to
	Plan	Death	Payment	Death	of Death	Death	(B)	NPERS
1	School	12/28/2006	1/26/2009	25	\$ 6,532	No	О	No
2	School	11/13/2008	1/26/2009	2	\$ 6,557	No	О	No
3	School	10/2/2008	1/26/2009	3	\$ 2,858	No	В	No
4	School	8/12/2008	1/26/2009	5	\$ 2,627	Yes	О	No
5	School	9/22/2008	1/26/2009	4	\$ 1,875	Yes	О	Yes (1)
6	School	12/18/2008	1/26/2009	1	\$ 1,758	No	О	No
7	School	12/26/2008	1/26/2009	1	\$ 1,270	No	О	No
8	School	11/16/2008	12/23/2008	1	\$ 1,253	Yes	О	No
9	School	10/23/2008	1/26/2009	3	\$ 840	No	О	No
10	School	11/1/2008	1/26/2009	2	\$ 716	No	О	No
11	School	11/18/2008	1/26/2009	2	\$ 659	No	О	No
12	School	12/20/2008	1/26/2009	1	\$ 405	No	В	No
13	School	12/9/2008	1/26/2009	1	\$ 388	No	О	No
14	School	11/20/2008	1/26/2009	2	\$ 260	No	О	No
15	School	11/22/2008	1/26/2009	2	\$ 201	No	0	No
16	School	12/19/2008	1/26/2009	1	\$ 125	No	В	No
		Total Overpa	yments (O)	\$ 24,936				
	Т	otal Due to Be	neficiaries (B)	\$ 3,388				
		Total of	Both		\$ 28,324			

(1) \$1,406 was returned to NPERS. See Exhibit B for further details.

We believe this finding to be a significant deficiency and a material weakness. Without policies and procedures to ensure deceased member accounts are adequately followed up and resolved in a timely manner, there is an increased risk of improper payments to members or beneficiaries past the date of death. This also increases the risk NPERS will not be able to obtain repayment of improper benefit payments.

We recommend NPERS:

- Review its current procedures for the follow-up and resolution of the death audit to ensure benefit payments properly cease or are transferred to the beneficiary upon death of the member.
- Submit information to the Berwyn Group on a semi-annual basis to ensure the timely identification of deceased members.
- Ensure repayment of \$93,605 in improper benefit payments made after the date of death.
- Appropriately suspend payments to the remaining individuals identified and ensure the required information is received from the beneficiaries prior to continuing the payments.
- Ensure the \$54,179 in payments made after the date of death of members was received by the proper beneficiary.

NPERS' Response: NPERS will refine our procedures to ensure that benefit payments properly cease and are transferred to the beneficiary upon the member's death.

We will review the accounts identified in the report and seek the proper resolution.

We will research the cost benefit of performing a semi-annual data search.

2. <u>Inactive Member Testing</u>

NPERS did not have adequate policies or procedures to ensure inactive member accounts were properly followed up and resolved in a timely manner. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 69-1307.03 (Reissue 2003) indicates retirement funds are presumed abandoned after five years. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 69-1310 (Reissue 2003) requires abandoned retirement funds to be remitted to the Nebraska State Treasurer under the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act.

- NPERS is charged based on the number of accounts they submit to the Berwyn Group. Per
 the July 2008 Berwyn Group report, the APA noted NPERS sent 240 inactive accounts with
 a zero balance. These should not have been sent for review as they required no further
 follow up as they were inactive with a zero balance.
- Per the July 2008 Berwyn Group report, the APA identified 38 inactive accounts (accounts for members no longer making contributions) which had balances or activity. Sixteen of these accounts were also on the January 2009 report; and were tested below. Of the remaining 22 member accounts, the APA found 8 accounts with issues. See Exhibit C for the details on each member. Following is a summary of the 8 accounts:

		D	U	nd Amount, nclaimed	
		Date of		mount, or	_
Member	Plan	Death	Acco	unt Balance	Issue
1	School	6/12/2008	\$	4,974	Account refunded to incorrect beneficiary
2	State	3/24/2007	\$	69,858	Balance remains; NPERS did not contact timely
3	School	9/19/2007	\$	1,847	Balance remains; NPERS did not contact timely
4	School	2/8/2006	\$	120	Balance remains; NPERS did not contact timely
5	School	3/13/2003	\$	573	Beneficiary not contacted yearly; balance subsequently refunded to beneficiary
6	School	11/9/2003	\$	9,253	Beneficiary not contacted yearly; balance subsequently refunded to beneficiary
7	School	11/5/2001	\$	152	Not timely remitted as unclaimed property
8	School	6/10/2002	\$	151	Not timely remitted as unclaimed property

Per the January 2009 Berwyn Group report, the APA identified and tested 30 inactive members (accounts for members no longer making contributions) with account balances or activity. The APA found 13 accounts with issues. See Exhibit D for further details on each member. Following is a summary of the 13 accounts:

		Date of		ccount alance	
Member	Plan	Death	Rei	maining	Issue
1	School	12/1/2001	\$	13,643	Beneficiary was not contacted; not remitted as unclaimed property
2	School	12/9/2007	\$	6,433	NPERS did not contact timely
3	School	4/9/2003	\$	3,034	NPERS did not contact timely; not remitted as unclaimed property
4	School	6/11/2006	\$	801	NPERS did not contact timely
5	School	2/10/2006	\$	683	Beneficiary not contacted yearly
6	School	3/31/2003	\$	347	NPERS did not contact the beneficiary
					timely and did not contact yearly; not
					remitted as unclaimed property
7	School	2/13/2005	\$	303	NPERS did not contact the beneficiary
					timely and did not contact yearly
8	School	4/4/2008	\$	218	Beneficiary was not contacted
9	School	3/20/2008	\$	163	Beneficiary was not contacted
10	School	9/30/2007	\$	104	NPERS did not contact timely
11	State	5/7/2008	\$	97	NPERS did not contact timely
12	School	11/6/2005	\$	20	NPERS did not contact the beneficiary
					timely and did not contact yearly
13	School	1/23/2006	\$	18	Beneficiary was not contacted

We believe this finding to be a significant deficiency and a material weakness. Without adequate policies and procedures to ensure the timely and accurate resolution of accounts identified by the death audit, there is an increased risk beneficiaries of members will not receive amounts owed to them from the member accounts.

We recommend NPERS:

- Ensure proper member data is submitted for the compilation of the death audit report.
- Submit data to the Berwyn Group at least semi-annually.
- Ensure a timely and accurate follow-up of accounts identified in the death audit to ensure beneficiaries receive all monies owed to them from member accounts.
- Implement procedures to ensure funds are remitted as unclaimed property in accordance with statutes.

NPERS' Response: NPERS has researched the data query that was being used for the death audit report and will be submitting the appropriate data in the future.

We will ensure that future death audit reports will be reviewed and processed to ensure the timely and accurate resolution of deceased member accounts.

We will ensure that funds are remitted to unclaimed property per statute.

3. <u>Invalid Member Information</u>

NPERS appears to have invalid social security numbers in their information system, PIONEER (Pension Information of Nebraska for Efficient and Effective Retirement). The July 2008 Berwyn Group report identified 98 member accounts with invalid social security numbers, including 78 with an invalid number and 20 with a number that did not match the name or any other identifying data provided by NPERS. There were 55 members whose social security numbers were either corrected by NPERS or who required no follow up because the accounts were inactive with a zero balance. The remaining 43 accounts were included with the January 2009 report data and were tested below.

The January 2009 Berwyn Group report identified 59 member accounts (43 previously identified in the July 2008 report) with invalid social security numbers, including 47 with an invalid number and 12 with a number that did not match the name or any other identifying data provided by NPERS. The APA noted 15 accounts were properly resolved and noted the following for the remaining accounts:

• Of the 59 member accounts, 32 had not been corrected in NPERS' system, including 26 accounts from the July 2008 report. Account balances for the 32 members totaled \$47,645, ranging from an account balance of \$11 to \$4,317. See Exhibit E for details.

- o The APA determined 8 of the 32 members identified also had an account under a different social security number in NPERS' system. The other information on the accounts agreed, such as first name, last name, and date of birth. The second accounts, for these members, had balances that totaled \$41,632. See **Exhibit E** for details.
- For 12 of the 59 members the social security number provided by NPERS matched the Berwyn Group database, however, the number was assigned to a different individual and the name and date of birth did not agree to the member information provided by NPERS. The APA noted 11 of the 12 member accounts had not been corrected in NPERS' system, including 3 accounts from the July 2008 report. The 11 account balances totaled \$10,425, ranging from a balance of \$9 to \$3,264. See Exhibit F for details.

It is extremely important that NPERS take appropriate steps and develop adequate procedures to ensure members' social security numbers are accurate and that duplicate member accounts do not exist. We believe this finding to be a significant deficiency and a material weakness. Without adequate policies and procedures to ensure inaccurate member data is corrected timely there is an increased risk the members could be deceased and not identified as such in the death audit report because of the incorrect social security numbers.

We recommend NPERS ensure its member data is accurate prior to submission for the death audit. NPERS should take steps to ensure social security numbers are accurate and that the member does not have a second account. We also recommend NPERS adequately resolve any inaccurate member information identified in the death audit.

NPERS' Response: Maintaining accurate member data is a continual process in our mobile society. NPERS recently converted our Information Technology System and is committed to detecting and correcting invalid member data.

4. Active Member Testing

NPERS did not submit active member accounts to the Berwyn Group for review in July 2008. NPERS only sent inactive members (with and without balances) and benefit recipients. Therefore, NPERS was unable to determine whether any active members of the Plans were deceased and whether the beneficiaries should be contacted.

The APA provided all active member accounts to the Berwyn Group at December 2008, which found nine active member accounts matched the death file. All of the accounts were recent deaths and no exceptions were noted.

The APA also noted 13 active members identified by the Berwyn Group from the January 2009 report did not have valid social security numbers; therefore, the Berwyn Group was unable to determine if these individuals were deceased. (See Comment #3 above.) We believe this finding to be a significant deficiency.

There is an increased risk NPERS will not be aware of all member deaths if active member accounts are not sent to the Berwyn Group for review. As a consequence, the beneficiaries may not be contacted and provided with monies owed.

We recommend NPERS ensure active member accounts are provided to the Berwyn Group for review. If matches are identified or invalid information reported, NPERS should ensure the timely follow-up of the members' account to ensure the proper resolution.

NPERS' Response: We will review the viability of active member testing. If members are active they are current employees contributing to the plan and not receiving a death benefit. We will research the cost benefit associated with testing active members.

Overall Conclusion

The APA has discussed the lack of follow-up and resolution of the Berwyn Group report data in five of the last six audits. Yet, NPERS continues to have deficient procedures regarding the timely follow-up and resolution of items included in the Berwyn Group report. As of January 2009, the APA identified \$93,605 in overpayments made to members after the date of death that should be returned to NPERS and \$54,179 in payments that continued to the members' bank account after the date of death, but were owed to beneficiaries. Therefore, we recommend NPERS seek repayment of these funds or ensure the proper beneficiary received the funds after the death of the member. As noted throughout the report, it is imperative NPERS submit accurate information for the death audit on at least a semi-annual basis and implement procedures for the timely and accurate resolution of all of the results of the death audit to ensure payments to deceased members properly cease or are properly transferred to the beneficiary, upon receipt of required information. NPERS should ensure the data it maintains is accurate prior to the submission to the Berwyn Group and should follow up on invalid social security numbers reported by the Berwyn Group. Finally, NPERS should ensure compliance with the unclaimed property statutes.

The APA staff involved in this attestation review were:

Krista Davis, Audit Manager Kris Kucera, Auditor-In-Charge Joan Arnold, Auditor II Mary Avery, Special Audits and Finance Manager Pat Reding, Assistant Deputy

If you have any questions regarding the above information, please contact our office.

JULY 2008 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - BENEFIT RECIPIENT TESTING

Overpay-Check ments (O) (CK) or Account is Direct NPERS Beneficiary Same as Deposit prior to Date of Amount of Aware Payments Overpayment Member Plan Date of Death (DD) Death Payment of Death (B) Explanation 0 School 8/28/2006 DD Yes 9/25/2006 \$ 1,650.72 No As of January 2009, the member had received 29 DD 10/25/2006 \$ 1.650.72 payments past the date of death. The payments should Yes DD Yes 11/22/2006 \$ 1,650.72 have stopped upon death in accordance with the benefit option selected at retirement. There was no DD Yes 12/21/2006 \$ 1,650.72 DD 1/25/2007 \$ 1.654.23 correspondence on file from NPERS indicating they Yes DD Yes 2/22/2007 \$ 1,654.23 were aware of the member's death. Therefore, payments would continue to be made to the deceased's account DD 3/23/2007 \$ 1,654.23 Yes monthly until NPERS resolved the account. DD Yes 4/23/2007 \$ 1,654.23 DD Yes 5/24/2007 \$ 1.654.23 DD 6/25/2007 \$ 1,654.23 Yes DD Yes 7/25/2007 \$ 1,870.92 DD 1 870 92 Yes 8/27/2007 \$ DD Yes 9/24/2007 \$ 1.870.92 DD Yes 10/25/2007 \$ 1,870.92 DD 11/26/2007 \$ 1,870.92 Yes DD Yes 12/21/2007 \$ 1,870.92 DD Yes 1/25/2008 \$ 1.879.19 DD Yes 2/25/2008 1,872.62 DD Yes 3/25/2008 \$ 1,872.62 DD Yes 4/23/2008 \$ 1,872.62 DD Yes 5/23/2008 \$ 1,872.62 DD Yes 6/24/2008 \$ 1.872.62 DD 7/28/2008 1,914.74 Yes DD \$ Yes 8/25/2008 1.914.74 DD Yes 9/24/2008 \$ 1,914.74 DD Yes 10/27/2008 \$ 1,914.74 DD Yes 11/21/2008 \$ 1.914.74 DD Yes 12/23/2008 \$ 1,914.74 DD Yes 1/26/2009 1,918.49 Number of Payments 29 Total 52,403.00 2 School 8/23/2007 DD Yes 9/24/2007 \$ 2,409.94 No As of January 2009, the member had received 17 DD Yes 10/25/2007 2,409.94 payments past the date of death. The member selected DD \$ 2 409 94 11/26/2007 an option guaranteeing payment for his lifetime and the Yes DD Yes 12/21/2007 \$ 2,409.94 lifetime of his spouse. The payments continued to the DD Yes 1/25/2008 \$ 2,418.93 deceased's bank account, in the deceased's name. We DD Yes 2/25/2008 \$ 2,418.93 determined the spouse was still living; however, there DD 3/25/2008 \$ 2,418.93 was no correspondence on file that NPERS attempted to Yes DD 4/23/2008 \$ 2,418.93 contact the beneficiary or to indicate NPERS was aware Yes DD 5/23/2008 of the death. NPERS should contact the beneficiary to Yes \$ 2,418.93 DD Yes 6/24/2008 \$ 2,418.93 change the status and payee of the member's account. DD Yes 7/28/2008 \$ 2,473.73 DD Yes 8/25/2008 \$ 2,473.73 DD 9/24/2008 \$ 2,473,73 Yes DD 10/27/2008 \$ 2,473.73 Yes DD Yes 11/21/2008 \$ 2,473.73 DD Yes 12/23/2008 \$ 2,473.73 DD Yes 1/26/2009 2,475.38 Number of Payments 17 Total \$ 41,471.10 1/24/2008 Yes 3 DD 2/25/2008 \$ 573.03 Yes В School The member received their last payment in September DD Yes 3/25/2008 \$ 573.03 2008, in accordance with the benefit option selected DD Yes 4/23/2008 \$ 573.03 upon retirement. However, the payments from the date DD Yes 5/23/2008 \$ 573.03 of death to September 2008 (eight payments) should DD Yes 6/24/2008 \$ 573.03 have been paid to the member's beneficiaries. All DD Yes 7/28/2008 \$ 586.62 payments continued to the deceased's bank account, in DD 8/25/2008 \$ the deceased's name. NPERS did not attempt to contact Yes 586.62 DD Yes 9/24/2008 586.62 the beneficiaries until September 2008, when payments Number of Payments \$ ceased; therefore, we could not determine who received 8 Total 4,625.01 the benefit payments after the date of death of the member.

JULY 2008 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - BENEFIT RECIPIENT TESTING

Member	Plan	Date of Death	Check (CK) or Direct Deposit (DD)	DD Account is Same as prior to Death	Date of Payment		Amount of verpayment	NPERS 1	Overpayments (O) or Beneficiary Payments (B)	Explanation
4	School	5/23/2008	DD DD DD DD	Yes Yes Yes Yes	6/24/2008 7/28/2008 8/25/2008 9/24/2008	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,152.60 1,180.76 1,180.76 1,180.76	Yes	В	The member received four payments past the date of death through September 2008; when NPERS suspended payments on the account. NPERS first attempted to contact the beneficiaries in September 2008 and again in
	Number	of Payments	4		Total	\$	4,694.88			December 2008. According to the option selected by the member upon retirement, the member's three beneficiaries were to receive equal payments through May 2011. We could not determine who received the four payments past the date of death as the payments were direct deposited to the deceased's bank account, in the deceased's name.
5	School	12/10/2007	DD	Yes	1/25/2008	\$	1,653.34	Yes	0	The member received six payments past the date of
			DD	Yes	2/25/2008	\$	1,653.34			death. In accordance with the option selected by the
			DD	Yes	3/25/2008	\$	1,653.34			member upon retirement, the payments should have
			DD	Yes	4/23/2008	\$	1,653.34			ended upon death. NPERS became aware of the
			DD	Yes	5/23/2008	\$	1,653.34			member's death in July 2008 and subsequently received
			DD	Yes	6/24/2008	\$	1,653.34			the full repayment of \$9,920.04 in July 2008.
	Number	of Payments	6		Total	\$	9,920.04			
	a 1 1	10/12/2005		**	11/05/0007		572.01	**		
6	School	10/13/2007	DD	Yes	11/26/2007	\$	573.01	Yes	O	The member received 11 payments past the date of
			DD DD	Yes Yes	12/21/2007 1/25/2008	\$ \$	573.01 573.01			death. In accordance with the option selected by the
			DD	Yes	2/25/2008	\$	573.01			member upon retirement, the payments should have ended upon death. NPERS became aware of the
			DD DD	Yes	3/25/2008	\$ \$	573.01			member's death in September 2008 and subsequently
			DD	Yes	4/23/2008	\$	573.01			received the full repayment of \$6,346.10 in October
			DD	Yes	5/23/2008	\$	573.01			2008.
			DD	Yes	6/24/2008	\$	573.01			
			DD	Yes	7/28/2008	\$	587.34			
			DD	Yes	8/25/2008	\$	587.34			
			DD	Yes	9/24/2008	\$	587.34			
	Number	of Payments	11		Total	\$	6,346.10			

Total Overpayments \$ 68,669.14
Total Due to Beneficiaries \$ 50,790.99

Total \$ 119,460.13

Prepared by APA 2 of 2 2/13/2009

NEBRASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES REITREMENT SYSTEMS DEATH AUDIT PROCEDURES JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - BENEFIT RECIPIENT TESTING

Check

DD

Member	Plan	Date of Death	Check (CK) or Direct Deposit (DD)	DD Account is Same as prior to Death	Date of Payment		Amount of verpayment	NPERS Aware of Death	Overpay- ments (O) or Beneficiary Payments (B)	Explanation
1	School Number o	12/28/2006	DD	Yes	1/25/2007 2/22/2007 3/23/2007 4/23/2007 4/23/2007 6/25/2007 8/27/2007 9/24/2007 10/25/2007 11/26/2007 11/26/2007 12/21/2008 2/25/2008 3/25/2008 4/23/2008 6/24/2008 7/28/2008 8/25/2008 9/24/2008 10/27/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2008 11/21/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	244.67 244.67 244.67 244.67 244.67 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 264.09 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69 270.69	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received 25 payments past the date of death. The payments should have stopped upon death in accordance with the benefit option selected at retirement. The member's beneficiary was his wife, who died in October 2006, prior to the death of the member. There was no correspondence on file from NPERS indicating they were aware of the member's death. We could not determine who was receiving the member's benefits after the death of the member and it appeared monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
2	School	11/13/2008 f Payments	DD DD 2	Yes Yes	12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$	3,278.61 3,278.61 6,557.22	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received two payments past the date of death. The payments should have ended upon death in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. As the member's beneficiaries were also no longer living we could not determine who was receiving the member's benefits upon death. Since there was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
3	School Number o	10/2/2008 f Payments	DD DD DD 3	Yes Yes Yes	11/21/2008 12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$	952.73 952.73 952.73 2,858.19	No	В	As of January 2009, the member had received three payments past the date of death. The payments from the date of death, and continuing through May 2009, should have been paid to the member's estate, in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement, since the beneficiary died in 2002. We were unable to determine who was receiving the member's benefits upon death. As there was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
4	School Number o	8/12/2008 f Payments	DD DD DD DD DD	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	9/24/2008 10/27/2008 11/21/2008 12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$ \$	525.40 525.40 525.40 525.40 525.40 2,627.00	Yes	О	As of January 2009, the member had received five payments past the date of death. The payments should have ended upon death in accordance with the benefit option selected at retirement. NPERS was informed of the death on January 23, 2009. However, the account was not suspended at that time, so monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased until NPERS resolved the issue. Additionally, there was no correspondence to indicate NPERS had requested the payments be refunded.
5	School Number o	9/22/2008 f Payments	CK CK CK CK	N/A N/A N/A N/A	10/27/2008 11/21/2008 12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$ \$	468.63 468.63 468.63 468.63 1,874.52	Yes	0	The member received four payments past the date of death. In accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement, payments should have ended upon death. The October, November, and December payments were returned to NPERS as undeliverable through the mail. NPERS subsequently voided the checks after determining the member had died. However, NPERS did not suspend the member's account in the system and another check was issued in January 2009. Payments would continue to be made until NPERS resolved the issue.

NEBRASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES REITREMENT SYSTEMS DEATH AUDIT PROCEDURES JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - BENEFIT RECIPIENT TESTING

Member	- Plan	Date of Death	Check (CK) or Direct Deposit (DD)	DD Account is Same as prior to Death	Date of Payment		Amount of verpayment	NPERS Aware of Death	Overpay- ments (O) or Beneficiary Payments (B)	Explanation
6	School	12/18/2008	DD	Yes	1/26/2009	\$	1,757.94	No	0	The payee was the spouse of a deceased member of the School Employees Plan. The member died in April 1988 and the spouse then received lifetime payments in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. The spouse died in December 2008 and received a payment in January 2009. There was no correspondence to indicate NPERS was aware of the spouse's death, and, therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made until NPERS resolved the issue.
7	School	12/26/2008	DD	Yes	1/26/2009	\$	1,269.96	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received one payment past the date of death. At retirement, the member selected a life-only annuity; therefore, no further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. There was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death. It appears monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
8	School	11/16/2008	DD	Yes	12/23/2008	\$	1,252.82	Yes	0	NPERS was notified of the member's death on January 13, 2009. The member received one payment past the date of death. However, in accordance with the option selected at retirement, the member's payments should have ended upon death. There was no correspondence to indicate NPERS had attempted to receive a refund of the overpayment upon notification of death.
9	School Number o	10/23/2008 of Payments	DD DD DD 3	Yes Yes Yes	11/21/2008 12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$	280.02 280.02 280.02 840.06	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received three payments past the date of death. At retirement, the member selected a life-only annuity; therefore, no further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. Four of the member's beneficiaries were still living and were signors on the member's bank account. However, they would not be entitled to the payments and would be required to refund the payments made since the member's death. There was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the member's death; therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
10	School Number o	11/1/2008 of Payments	DD DD 2	Yes Yes	12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$	357.92 357.92 715.84	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received two payments past the date of death. The payments should have ended upon death in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the member's death; therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
11	School Number o	11/18/2008 of Payments	DD DD 2	Yes Yes	12/23/2008 1/26/2009 Total	\$ \$ \$	329.55 329.55 659.10	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received two payments past the date of death. The payments should have ended upon death in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death; therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue. It was noted the member's beneficiary, the spouse, was still living and was a joint holder of the bank account to which payments were being deposited. NPERS should contact the beneficiary and determine the refund necessary.

NEBRASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES REITREMENT SYSTEMS DEATH AUDIT PROCEDURES JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - BENEFIT RECIPIENT TESTING

the date of death. In accordance with the option selected retirement, payments should have ended upon the member's and resumed to the spouse for the spouse's lifetime. These was lifting at the time of death and entitled to the behave, there was no correspondence on file has been supported to contact the spouse or to indicate NPERs aware of the member's death. Therefore, monthly payments would not be made to the deceased's account until NI resolved the issue. 13 School 12/9/2008 DD Yes 1/26/2009 \$ 387.90 No O As of January 2009, the member had received one payment the date of death. As the member selected a life-only amuniful further payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the spouse of the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to a beenfacinry or estate. The date of death and the payments would be made to the deceased by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were to the death of the death and the date of death. The payment should have ended upon death and the date of death. The payment should have ended u	Member	Plan	Date of Death	Check (CK) or Direct Deposit (DD)	DD Account is Same as prior to Death	Date of Payment	mount of erpayment	NPERS Aware of Death	Overpay- ments (O) or Beneficiary Payments (B)	Explanation
the date of death. As the member selected a life-only annuli further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. Was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware of death. Therefore, monthy payments would do not must be must be must be decreased until NPERS resolved the issue. 14 School 11/20/2008 DD Yes 1/26/2009 \$ 130.16 No O As of January 2009, the member had received two payment the date of death. As the member selected a life-only annuli further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. Total \$ 260.32 was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware death. Therefore, monthly payments would be not be to the decreased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue. 15 School 11/22/2008 DD Yes 1/26/2009 \$ 100.56 No O As of January 2009, the member had received two payment the date of death. The payments should have ended upon dear accordance with the option selected by the member at retire. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the decreased with the option selected by the member at retire. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would contone to be made to the decreased with the option selected by the member at retire. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would contone to be selected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries in accordance with the oselected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were living; however, there was no correspondence on file to the two beneficiaries in accordance with the oselected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were living; however, there was no correspondence on file to the two beneficiaries in accordance with the oselected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were living; however, there was no correspondence on file to not the vibe beneficiaries in accordance with the oselected by the member	12	School	12/20/2008	DD	Yes	1/26/2009	\$ 404.99	No	В	As of January 2009, the member had received one payment past the date of death. In accordance with the option selected at retirement, payments should have ended upon the member's death and resumed to the spouse for the spouse's lifetime. The spouse was living at the time of death and entitled to the benefit payments. However, there was no correspondence on file that NPERS attempted to contact the spouse or to indicate NPERS was aware of the member's death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's account until NPERS resolved the issue.
Number of Payments DD	13	School	12/9/2008	DD	Yes	1/26/2009	\$ 387.90	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received one payment past the date of death. As the member selected a life-only annuity, no further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. There was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death. Therefore, monthy payments would continue to be made to the deceased until NPERS resolved the issue.
Number of Payments DD Yes 1/26/2009 \$ 100.56 the date of death. The payments should have ended upon dea accordance with the option selected by the member at retire. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would cort to be made to the deceased until NPERS resolved the issue. 16 School 12/19/2008 DD Yes 1/26/2009 \$ 124.97 No B As of January 2009, the member had received one payment the date of death. The payment should have ended upon deat resumed to the two beneficiaries, in accordance with the of selected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were living; however, there was no correspondence on file that had attempted to contact the beneficiaries or that NPERS was away the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved.	14			DD		1/26/2009	\$ 130.16	No	O	As of January 2009, the member had received two payments past the date of death. As the member selected a life-only annuity, no further payments would be made to a beneficiary or estate. There was no documentation on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.
the date of death. The payment should have ended upon deat resumed to the two beneficiaries, in accordance with the of selected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were living; however, there was no correspondence on file that NI attempted to contact the beneficiaries or that NPERS was away the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved.	15			DD		1/26/2009	\$ 100.56	No	0	As of January 2009, the member had received two payments past the date of death. The payments should have ended upon death in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. There was no correspondence on file to indicate NPERS was aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased until NPERS resolved the issue.
Total Overpayments \$ 24,935.73	16	School	12/19/2008	DD				No	В	As of January 2009, the member had received one payment past the date of death. The payment should have ended upon death and resumed to the two beneficiaries, in accordance with the option selected by the member at retirement. The beneficiaries were still living; however, there was no correspondence on file that NPERS attempted to contact the beneficiaries or that NPERS was aware of the death. Therefore, monthly payments would continue to be made to the deceased's bank account until NPERS resolved the issue.

Total Due to Beneficiaries \$ 3,388.15

Total \$ 28,323.88

JULY 2008 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INACTIVE MEMBER TESTING

Member	Plan	Date of Death	Date NPERS was Notified of Death	Date of First Contact by NPERS Regarding Death	Number of Months from Death to First Contact	Un	efund or aclaimed amount	Bala	Account ance as of party 2009	Explanation
1	School	6/12/2008	6/16/2008	7/16/2008	1	\$	4,974	\$	-	The member completed a member registration form in December 1992 listing his wife as his beneficiary. The member subsequently submitted a revised member registration form in October 2002 listing no beneficiaries. In January 2003, NPERS contacted the member to inform him that the account balance would go to his estate in the event of his death if no beneficiaries were listed. No additional documention was received from the member to add a beneficiary. Therefore, upon death, the member's account should have been paid to his estate. However, on August 13, 2008, NPERS refunded the account, for \$4,974, to the beneficiary from the first member registration form, which was not in accordance with the member's intention. NPERS should have paid the account balance to the deceased's estate in accordance with the member's most current beneficiary form.
2	State	3/24/2007	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	18	\$	-	\$	69,858	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in September 2008, 18 months after the death of the member. As of January 2009, there was no further correspondence on file and the account had not been paid to the beneficiary.
3	School	9/19/2007	9/21/2007	7/23/2008	10	\$	-	\$	1,847	NPERS became aware of the member's death in September 2007, but did not attempt to contact the member's beneficiaries until July 2008, 10 months after the notification of death. As of January 2009, the account had not been paid to the beneficiaries.
4	School	2/8/2006	2/9/2006	8/27/2008	30	\$	-	\$	120	NPERS became aware of the member's death in February 2006, but did not attempt to contact the member's beneficiary until August 2008, 30 months after the notification of death. As of January 2009, the account had not been paid to the beneficiary.
5	School	3/13/2003	3/18/2003	3/18/2003	5 days	\$	573	\$	-	NPERS promptly contacted the beneficiary upon notification of the death. However, no further contact was made until August 2008, over five years after the initial contact. The account was refunded to the beneficiary on September 10, 2008, for \$573.
6	School	11/9/2003	11/12/2003	11/12/2003	3 days	\$	9,253	\$	-	NPERS promptly contacted the beneficiary upon notification of the death and then again one year later, in November 2004. However, no further contact was made until August 2008, almost four years after the last contact. The account was refunded to the beneficiary on September 17, 2008, for \$9,253.
7	School	11/5/2001	Unknown	N/A	N/A	\$	152	\$	-	The member began contributing to the School Employees Plan without completing a membership registration form. Therefore, there was no information on file to assist NPERS in locating the member or possible beneficiaries. In 1994 and 1997, NPERS sent letters to the school he was employed with to attempt to obtain contact information for the member. There was no indication that any information was received from the school. Since NPERS was unable to contact the member or beneficiaries, the account should have been remitted to the State of Nebraska-Unclaimed Property Division in November 2006, in accordance with statute. NPERS did not remit the \$152 balance to Unclaimed Property until October 2008, nearly seven years from the date of death, and two years after the date required by statute.
8	School	6/10/2002	8/28/2002	8/28/2002	2	\$	151	\$	-	NPERS attempted to contact the member's beneficiary in August 2002 and March 2004; however, no information was ever received from the beneficiary. NPERS made no further attempts to contact the beneficiary. The account should have been remitted to the State of Nebraska-Unclaimed Property Division in June 2007, in accordance with statute. NPERS did not remit the \$151 balance to Unclaimed Property until September 2008, over six years after the date of death and over a year from the date required by statute.

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INACTIVE MEMBER TESTING

Member	r Plan	Date of Death	Date NPERS was Notified of Death	Date of First Contact by NPERS Regarding Death	Number of Months from Death to First Contact	Bala	account ance as of aary 2009	Explanation
1	School	12/1/2001	10/3/2008	N/A	N/A	\$	13,643	NPERS attempted to contact the member in February 2005, April 2007, and August 2007, since the member was inactive but had an account balance. NPERS was not notified of the member's death until October 2008, approximately seven years from the date of death. NPERS had not yet attempted to contact the beneficiary, as of January 2009, aproximately four months since notification of the death. NPERS should remit the account balance to the State of Nebraska-Unclaimed Property Division as it has been over five years since the member's death.
2	School	12/9/2007	8/21/2008	8/21/2008	8	\$	6,433	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in August 2008; eight months after the date of death. There was no further correspondence on file and the account had not been paid to the beneficiary, as of January 2009, over a year since the date of death.
3	School	4/9/2003	10/6/2008	10/7/2008	66	\$	3,034	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in October 2008; over five years after the date of death. As of January 2009, there was no further correspondence on file and the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary. NPERS should remit the account balance to the State of Nebraska-Unclaimed Property Division, in accordance with statute, as it has been over five years since the member's death.
4	School	6/11/2006	8/20/2007	8/20/2007	14	\$	801	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in August 2007; 14 months after the member's date of death. NPERS attempted to contact the beneficiary again in August 2008; however, as of January 2009 the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.
5	School	2/10/2006	7/18/2006	7/18/2006	5	\$	683	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in July 2006. However, no further contact was made with the beneficiary until August 2008. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.
6	School	3/31/2003	7/29/2004	7/29/2004	16	\$	347	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in July 2004; 16 months after the date of death. There was no further indication NPERS contacted the beneficiary after July 2004. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary; therefore, NPERS should remit the account balance to the State of Nebraska-Unclaimed Property Division, in accordance with statute, as it has been over five years since the member's death.
7	School	2/13/2005	6/14/2007	6/14/2007	28	\$	303	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in June 2007; 28 months after the date of death. NPERS made one other attempt to contact the beneficiary, in August 2007. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INACTIVE MEMBER TESTING

		Date of	Date NPERS was Notified	Date of First Contact by NPERS Regarding	Number of Months from Death to First	Account ance as of	
Member	Plan	Death	of Death	Death	Contact	ary 2009	Explanation
8	School	4/4/2008	4/21/2008	N/A	N/A	\$ 218	NPERS was informed of the member's death by the employer in April 2008. NPERS had not yet attempted to contact the member's beneficiary, as of January 2009; nine months since the member's death and notification of the death.
9	School	3/20/2008	10/8/2008	N/A	N/A	\$ 163	NPERS was notified of the member's death in October 2008, over six months after the member's death. NPERS had not yet attempted to contact the beneficiary, as of January 2009; four months since NPERS received notification of death.
10	School	9/30/2007	9/10/2008	9/30/2008	12	\$ 104	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in September 2008; one year after the date of death. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.
11	State	5/7/2008	12/31/2008	12/31/2008	8	\$ 97	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in December 2008; eight months after the date of death. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.
12	School	11/6/2005	7/18/2006	7/18/2006	8	\$ 20	NPERS became aware of the member's death and contacted the member's beneficiary in July 2006; eight months after death. NPERS had not attempted to contact the beneficiary since July 2006. As of January 2009, the account had not yet been paid to the beneficiary.
13	School	1/23/2006	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 18	It did not appear NPERS was aware of the member's death and had not contacted the member's beneficiary. As of January 2009, it had been approximately three years since the member's death and the account had not been paid to the beneficiary.
				T 4 1			

Total \$ 25,864

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INVALID SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TESTING

	_	01211012	TI 2007 BLI			111 11111	1212 500	IAL SECURITI NUMBER TESTING
			Date NPERS				Second	
			Last		Also		Account	
			Contacted	Number	Included		Balance	
		Account	Member	of Years	on July		as of	
		Balance as of	Regarding	Since Last	2008	Second	January	
Member	Plan	January 2009	Balance	Contact	Report	Account	2009	Explanation
1	School	\$ 4,317	3/3/2005	4	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
2	School	\$ 3,632	9/29/2005	3	No		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
3	School	\$ 3,093	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
								member and the member information had not been corrected.
4	School	\$ 2,865	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected.
5	School	\$ 2,699	3/9/2005	4	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
6	School	\$ 2,556	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
								member and the member information had not been corrected.
7	School	\$ 2,365	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						member and the member information had not been corrected.
8	School	\$ 2,316	_	_	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
0	School	\$ 2,310	_	_	105		φ -	member and the member information had not been corrected.
								inclined and the member information had not been corrected.
9	School	\$ 2,178	_		Yes	Yes	\$ 3,413	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
9	School	\$ 2,176	-	-	168	108	\$ 3,413	member and the member information had not been corrected.
								After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a
								second account for this member with a different social
								security number. The second account had a balance of
								\$3,413. NPERS should determine whether this is the same
								member and combine the accounts if necessary.
								inclined and combine the accounts if necessary.
10	C -11	¢ 1.010	4/12/2007	2	V		¢	A
10	School	\$ 1,910	4/13/2007	2	Yes			As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
11	School	\$ 1,870	2/25/2005	4	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been
	a	Φ 1 :-	# /4 = /2		¥		Φ.	corrected.
12	School	\$ 1,680	5/17/2005	4	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
13	School	\$ 1,653	4/19/2005	4	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been
			4/19/2003	+				corrected.
14	School	\$ 1,575	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
								member and the member information had not been corrected.
15	School	\$ 1,522	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
		,						member and the member information had not been corrected.
16	School	\$ 1,375	-	-	No		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
								member and the member information had not been corrected.
17	School	\$ 1,249	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
		7 -,- 12					T	member and the member information had not been corrected.
								and the second solution and th
18	School	\$ 1,244	6/16/1992	17	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been
10	5011001	Ψ 1,2-1T	0,10,17,72	1,	103		, ·	corrected.
19	School	\$ 1,190	-	-	No		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the
		,,-					'	member and the member information had not been corrected.
L								ļ

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INVALID SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TESTING

								T
Member 20	Plan County	Account Balance as of January 2009 \$ 1,099	Date NPERS Last Contacted Member Regarding Balance	Number of Years Since Last Contact	Also Included on July 2008 Report Yes	Second Account Yes	Second Account Balance as of January 2009 \$ 6,347	Explanation As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account had a balance of \$6,347. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
21	School	\$ 1,059	10/4/1999	9	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
22	School	\$ 997	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected.
23	School	\$ 730	8/9/1996	12	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
24	School	\$ 612	7/23/1990	18	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
	School	\$ 451	6/16/1992	17	Yes			As of January 2009, the member information had not been corrected.
26	County	\$ 372	-	-	No	Yes	\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account appeared to be a beneficiary account and did not have a balance. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
27	School	\$ 360	-	-	No	Yes	\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account appeared to be a beneficiary account and did not have a balance. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
28	School	\$ 246	-	-	No	Yes	\$ 80	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account had a balance of \$80. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
29	School	\$ 207	-	-	Yes	Yes	\$ 4,726	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account had a balance of \$4,726. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
30	School	\$ 179	-	-	Yes		\$ -	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected.

Prepared by APA 2 of 3 2/13/2009

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - INVALID SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TESTING

Member 31	Plan School	Account Balance as of January 2009 \$ 33	Date NPERS Last Contacted Member Regarding Balance	Number of Years Since Last Contact	Also Included on July 2008 Report Yes	Second Account Yes	Second Account Balance as of January 2009 \$ 17,309	Explanation As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account had a balance of \$17,309. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.
32	School	\$ 11	-	-	Yes	Yes	\$ 9,757	As of January 2009, no contact had been made with the member and the member information had not been corrected. After review of NPERS' system, it appeared there was a second account for this member with a different social security number. The second account had a balance of \$9,757. NPERS should determine whether this is the same member and combine the accounts if necessary.

\$ 47,643 8 \$ 41,632

JANUARY 2009 BERWYN GROUP REPORT - MISMATCHED SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS

				Date NPERS			
				Last		Also	
				Contacted	Number of	Included	
		Α	Account	Member	Years	on July	
		Bala	ance as of	f Regarding Since Last		2008	
Member	Plan	Janu	ary 2009	Balance	Contact	Report	Explanation
1	County	\$	3,264	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
2	State	\$	2,791	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
3	State	\$	1,723	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
4	School	\$	983	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
5	School	\$	610	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
6	School	\$	451	-	-	Yes	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
7	School	\$	377	-	-	Yes	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
8	School	\$	81	-	-	Yes	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
9	School	\$	73	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
10	School	\$	63	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
11	County	\$	9	-	-	No	As of January 2009, NPERS had not researched and
							corrected the member information.
	T . 1	Ф	10.405				•

Total \$ 10,425

Note: As of January 2009, none of the members had been contacted by NPERS to correct the member information.