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BLAINE COUNTY COURT

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

During our examination of Blaine County Court, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and other operational matters that are presented here. These comments and recommendations are intended to improve the internal control over financial reporting or result in operational efficiencies in the areas as follows:

1. **Segregation of Duties:** One individual was capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.

2. **Unclaimed Property:** The County Court did not report and remit trust balances to the State Treasurer that were over three years old as required by State statute.

3. **Overdue Balances:** The County Court did not review its overdue balances on an ongoing, timely basis to ensure collection and/or resolution of such balances.

More detailed information on the above items is provided hereafter. It should be noted that this report is critical in nature as it contains only our comments and recommendations on the areas noted for improvement and does not include our observations on any accounting strengths of the County Court.

Draft copies of this report were furnished to the County Court to provide them an opportunity to review the report and to respond to the comments and recommendations included in this report. All formal responses received have been incorporated into this report. Where no response has been included, the County Court declined to respond. Responses have been objectively evaluated and recognized, as appropriate, in the report. Responses that indicate corrective action has been taken were not verified at this time, but will be verified in the next examination.

We appreciate the cooperation and courtesy extended to our auditors during the course of the examination.
1. **Segregation of Duties**

Good internal control includes a plan of organization, procedures, and records designed to safeguard assets and provide reliable financial records. A system of internal control should include proper segregation of duties so no one individual is capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.

We noted the office of the County Court had a lack of segregation of duties as one person was capable of handling all aspects of processing transactions from beginning to end. A lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of possible errors or irregularities; however, due to a limited number of personnel, an adequate segregation of duties is not possible without additional cost. Further, personnel are under the direction of both the Nebraska State Court Administrator and the Presiding Judge.

We have noted this comment in previous examinations.

We recommend the County Court and the Nebraska State Court Administrator review this situation. As always, the cost of hiring additional personnel versus the benefit of a proper segregation of duties must be weighed.

2. **Unclaimed Property**

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 69-1310 (Reissue 2009), the Unclaimed Property Act, provides any unclaimed property, after three years, is presumed abandoned. Any presumed abandoned property, as of June 30 each year, must be reported and remitted to the State Treasurer by November 1 of each year.

Review of the June 30, 2010, bank reconciliation and trust balances noted the following:

- Five outstanding checks, totaling $8, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2004.
- One outstanding check, totaling $2, which was due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2005.
- Two outstanding checks, totaling $5, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2006.
- Seven outstanding checks, totaling $12, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2007.
- Four outstanding checks, totaling $104, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2008.
- Two outstanding checks, totaling $2, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2009.
- Four outstanding checks, totaling $42, which were due to the State Treasurer by November 1, 2010.
2. **Unclaimed Property** (Concluded)

As of May 18, 2011, none of these outstanding checks had been remitted to the State Treasurer.

When unclaimed property is not remitted to the State Treasurer in accordance with the Unclaimed Property Act, not only is the County Court not in compliance with State statute, but there is an increased risk of loss, theft, or misuse of those funds.

We have noted this comment in previous examinations.

> We recommend the County Court work to promptly remit all unclaimed property in its possession in accordance with State statute.

*County Court’s Response: I will be sending the unclaimed property to the State this October.*

3. **Overdue Balances**

Good internal control and sound business practices require overdue balances of the County Court be reviewed on an ongoing, timely basis to determine what action should be taken to collect and/or otherwise resolve those balances.

During testing of four overdue balances, three, totaling $232, did not have subsequent action taken by the County Court to ensure collection and/or resolution of the balances, such as the issuance of warrants and/or suspensions or declaration of certain overdue balances as uncollectible. As of April 23, 2011, overdue balances, excluding restitution judgments, totaled $1,015.

Without regular review of overdue case balances, there is an increased risk overdue balances may either not have proper follow-up action taken or the balances may have been previously resolved and should no longer be reflected as being overdue.

> We recommend the County Court implement ongoing, timely review of its Overdue Case Account reports to ensure timely collection and/or resolution of overdue balances.

*County Court’s Response: I have worked on the “overdue balance report” with the justice help team. We have figured out that $953.75 is uncollectable and I will have the Judge sign off on these when he is here on the 22nd of June. There is $138.00 that I will claim to the county this month. We were also able to clear up several of the rest. Leaving very little on the report when this is done.*

*Since I’m only paid to work 12 hours a week in Blaine County and I have to help in Brown County several days I don’t have any extra time to do everything I would like. Reports like the “overdue balance report” is one of those.*
We have examined the accompanying Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of Blaine County Court as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2009. The County Court’s management is responsible for the Schedules. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Schedules referred to above present, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions of the Agency Funds of Blaine County Court as of June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2009, and the related activity for the fiscal years then ended, based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court as described in Note 1.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated May 18, 2011, on our consideration of Blaine County Court’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an attestation engagement performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our examination.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Supreme Court, others within the County Court, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Signed Original on File

May 18, 2011

Jennifer Person, CPA, CFE
Audit Manager
# Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

## Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2009</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Deposits</td>
<td>$2,946</td>
<td>$24,614</td>
<td>$24,720</td>
<td>$2,840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Liabilities

**Due to State Treasurer:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2009</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Fees</td>
<td>$421</td>
<td>$4,160</td>
<td>$4,162</td>
<td>$419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Fees</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Judges Retirement Fund</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>1,506</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Administrative Fees</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>1,779</td>
<td>1,741</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services Fees</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1,757</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Due to County Treasurer:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2009</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Fines</td>
<td>1,325</td>
<td>11,204</td>
<td>11,529</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overload Fines</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash Fund</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Fund Payable</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>3,599</td>
<td>3,403</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Liabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2009</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>$2,946</td>
<td>$24,614</td>
<td>$24,720</td>
<td>$2,840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.
## Balance of Changes in Assets and Liabilities

**Agency Funds**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2008</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$916</td>
<td>$15,252</td>
<td>$13,222</td>
<td>$2,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Deposits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **LIABILITIES**  |                      |           |            |                       |
| **Due to State Treasurer:** |            |            |            |                       |
| Regular Fees     | $164       | $3,264    | $3,007     | $421                 |
| Law Enforcement Fees | 5          | 245       | 205        | 45                   |
| State Judges Retirement Fund | 28        | 985       | 849        | 164                  |
| Court Administrative Fees | 31       | 1,279     | 1,124      | 186                  |
| Legal Services Fees | 35        | 1,157     | 978        | 214                  |

| **Due to County Treasurer:** |            |            |            |                       |
| Regular Fines      | 125        | 6,979     | 5,779      | 1,325                |
| Overload Fines     | -          | 25        | 25         | -                    |
| Regular Fees       | -          | 55        | 55         | -                    |
| Petty Cash Fund    | 50         | -         | -          | 50                   |
| **Trust Fund Payable** | 478       | 1,263     | 1,200      | 541                  |

| **Total Liabilities** | $916 | $15,252 | $13,222 | $2,946 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.
1. **Criteria**

   **A. Reporting Entity**

   The Blaine County Court is established by State statute and is administratively operated through the Court Administrator’s Office of the Nebraska Supreme Court, which is part of the State of Nebraska reporting entity. The Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of the County Court reflect only the Agency Funds activity of the County Court; the receipts, and their subsequent disbursement to the appropriate entities for which they were collected. The Schedules do not reflect the personal services expenses of the County Court, which are paid by the Nebraska Supreme Court, or the operating expenses, which are paid by Blaine County.

   **B. Basis of Accounting**

   The accounting records of the County Court Agency Funds are maintained, and the Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions have been prepared, based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court. Under this system of accounting, fines, fees, and receipts relating to trust funds are shown as additions to assets and as an increase in the related liability when received. Likewise, disbursements are shown as deductions to assets and a decrease in the related liability when a check is written.

2. **Deposits and Investments**

   Funds held by the County Court are deposited and invested in accordance with rules issued by the Supreme Court as directed by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2713 (Reissue 2008). Funds are generally consolidated in an interest-bearing checking account; however, the County Court may order certain trust funds to be invested separately. Any deposits in excess of the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation are required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-2326.04 (Reissue 2009) to be secured either by a surety bond or as provided in the Public Funds Deposit Security Act.
We have examined the accompanying Schedules of Blaine County Court as of and for the years ended June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated May 18, 2011. We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our examination, we considered Blaine County Court’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Schedules, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Court’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Court’s internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s Schedules will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the following deficiency described in the Comments Section of the report to be a material weakness: Comment Number 1 (Segregation of Duties).
Compliance and Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Blaine County Court’s financial schedule is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial schedule amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our examination, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We also noted certain additional items that we reported to management of Blaine County Court in the Comments Section of this report as Comment Number 2 (Unclaimed Property) and Comment Number 3 (Overdue Balances).

The County Court’s written response to the findings identified in our examination are described in the Comments Section of the report. We did not examine the County Court’s response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Supreme Court, others within the County Court, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Signed Original on File

May 18, 2011

Jennifer Person, CPA, CFE
Audit Manager