#### ATTESTATION REPORT OF HAMILTON COUNTY COURT

JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

This document is an official public record of the State of Nebraska, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts.

Modification of this document may change the accuracy of the original document and may be prohibited by law.

Issued on March 11, 2013

# HAMILTON COUNTY COURT

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Comments Section	-
Comment and Recommendation	1
Financial Section	
Independent Accountant's Report	2 - 3
Financial Schedules:	
Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Funds - For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	4
Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Funds - For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011	5
Notes to Financial Schedules	6

### HAMILTON COUNTY COURT

#### COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

During our examination of Hamilton County Court, we noted a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness that is presented here.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial schedule will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

The following comment and recommendation is intended to improve the internal control over financial reporting in the following area:

#### **Segregation of Duties**

Good internal control includes a plan of organization, procedures, and documentation designed to safeguard assets and provide reliable financial records. A system of internal control should include proper segregation of duties, so no one individual is capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.

We noted the office of the County Court had a lack of segregation of duties, as one person was capable of handling all aspects of processing transactions from beginning to end. A lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of possible errors or irregularities; however, due to a limited number of personnel, an adequate segregation of duties is not possible without additional cost. Further, personnel are under the direction of both the Nebraska State Court Administrator and the Presiding Judge. We have noted this comment in previous examinations. We consider this to be a material weakness.

We recommend the County Court and the Nebraska State Court Administrator review this situation. As always, the cost of hiring additional personnel versus the benefit of a proper segregation of duties must be weighed.

It should be noted this report is critical in nature as it contains only our comment and recommendation on the area noted for improvement and does not include our observations on any accounting strengths of the County Court.

Draft copies of this report were furnished to the County Court to provide them an opportunity to review the report and to respond to the comment and recommendation included in this report. The County Court declined to respond.

# **NEBRASKA AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**



Mike Foley State Auditor Mike.Foley@nebraska.gov P.O. Box 98917 State Capitol, Suite 2303 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509 402-471-2111, FAX 402-471-3301 www.auditors.state.ne.us

### HAMILTON COUNTY COURT

#### **INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT**

We have examined the accompanying Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of Hamilton County Court as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011. The County Court's management is responsible for the Schedules. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Schedules referred to above present, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions of the Agency Funds of Hamilton County Court as of June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, and the related activity for the fiscal years then ended, based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court as described in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Schedules and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Schedules are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over the Schedules or on compliance and other matters; accordingly we express no such opinions. Our examination disclosed a certain finding that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and the findings, along with the views of management, is described in the Comments Section of the report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Supreme Court, others within the County Court, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

February 28, 2013

Deann Haeffner, CPA Assistant Deputy Auditor

## HAMILTON COUNTY COURT AURORA, NEBRASKA SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS AGENCY FUNDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Balance July 1, 2011 Additions		Deductions		Balance June 30, 2012		
ASSETS							
Cash and Deposits	\$	44,095	\$ 378,072	\$	383,294	\$	38,873
LIABILITIES							
Due to State Treasurer:							
Regular Fees	\$	4,144	\$ 52,608	\$	52,389	\$	4,363
Law Enforcement Fees		389	4,097		4,156		330
State Judges Retirement Fund		1,312	14,421		14,532		1,201
Court Administrative Fees		2,260	28,099		28,772		1,587
Legal Services Fees		1,460	15,043		15,264		1,239
Due to County Treasurer:							
Regular Fines		10,177	107,244		108,630		8,791
Overload Fines		1,425	6,225		7,170		480
Regular Fees		508	23,767		24,146		129
Due to Municipalities:							
Regular Fines		120	535		655		-
Trust Fund Payable		22,300	 126,033		127,580		20,753
Total Liabilities	\$	44,095	\$ 378,072	\$	383,294	\$	38,873

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.

## HAMILTON COUNTY COURT AURORA, NEBRASKA SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS AGENCY FUNDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

	Balance July 1, 2010		Additions		Deductions		Balance June 30, 2011	
ASSETS								
Cash and Deposits	\$	106,378	\$	487,250	\$	549,533	\$	44,095
LIABILITIES								
Due to State Treasurer:								
Regular Fees	\$	10,809	\$	63,064	\$	69,729	\$	4,144
Law Enforcement Fees		544		5,636		5,791		389
State Judges Retirement Fund		1,857		18,203		18,748		1,312
Court Administrative Fees		3,358		32,444		33,542		2,260
Legal Services Fees		1,979		19,707		20,226		1,460
Due to County Treasurer:								
Regular Fines		14,764		165,187		169,774		10,177
Overload Fines		125		7,075		5,775		1,425
Regular Fees		1,310		9,979		10,781		508
Due to Municipalities:								
Regular Fines		-		1,190		1,070		120
Regular Fees		-		37		37		-
Trust Fund Payable		71,632		164,728		214,060		22,300
Total Liabilities	\$	106,378	\$	487,250	\$	549,533	\$	44,095

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.

## HAMILTON COUNTY COURT NOTES TO FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011

#### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

#### A. Reporting Entity

The Hamilton County Court is established by State statute and is administratively operated through the Court Administrator's Office of the Nebraska Supreme Court, which is part of the State of Nebraska reporting entity. The Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of the County Court reflect only the Agency Funds activity of the County Court, including the receipts and their subsequent disbursement to the appropriate entities for which they were collected. The Schedules do not reflect the personal services expenses of the County Court, which are paid by the Nebraska Supreme Court, or the operating expenses, which are paid by Hamilton County.

#### **B.** Basis of Accounting

The accounting records of the County Court Agency Funds are maintained, and the Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions have been prepared, based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court. Under this system of accounting, fines, fees, and receipts relating to trust funds are shown as additions to assets and as an increase in the related liability when received. Likewise, disbursements are shown as deductions to assets and as a decrease in the related liability when a check is written.

#### 2. <u>Deposits and Investments</u>

Funds held by the County Court are deposited and invested in accordance with rules issued by the Supreme Court, as directed by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2713 (Reissue 2008). Funds are generally consolidated in an interest-bearing checking account; however, the County Court may order certain trust funds to be invested separately. Any deposits in excess of the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation are required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-2326.04 (Reissue 2009) to be secured either by a surety bond or as provided in the Public Funds Deposit Security Act.