ATTESTATION REPORT OF MADISON COUNTY COURT

JULY 1, 2015, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017

This document is an official public record of the State of Nebraska, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts.

Modification of this document may change the accuracy of the original document and may be prohibited by law.

Issued on June 11, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Comments Section	
Summary of Comments	1
Comments and Recommendations	2 - 3
Financial Section	
Independent Accountant's Report	4 - 5
Financial Schedules:	
Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Evador Footbo Pariod July 1, 2015, to December 21, 2016	6
Funds - For the Period July 1, 2015, to December 31, 2016 Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities	6
Arising from Cash Transactions - Agency Funds - For the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2017	7
Notes to Financial Schedules	8

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

During our examination of the Madison County Court, we noted certain deficiencies and other operational matters that are presented here. The following comment is required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*: Comment #1, "Segregation of Duties," which is considered to be a material weakness.

These comments and recommendations are intended to improve the internal control over financial reporting or result in operational efficiencies in the following areas:

- 1. Segregation of Duties: One individual was capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.
- 2. *Monthly Report Review:* The Monthly Case Balance Report and Overdue Case Account Report were not reviewed, with corrective action being taken in a timely manner, when necessary, to resolve issues.

More detailed information on the above items is provided hereinafter. It should be noted that this report is critical in nature, as it contains only our comments and recommendations on the areas noted for improvement and does not include our observations on any accounting strengths of the County Court.

Draft copies of this report were furnished to the County Court to provide management with an opportunity to review the report and to respond to the comments and recommendations included in this report. All formal responses received have been incorporated into this report. Responses have been objectively evaluated and recognized, as appropriate, in the report. Responses that indicate corrective action has been taken were not verified at this time, but they will be verified in the next examination.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Segregation of Duties

We noted that the office of the County Court lacked a sufficient segregation of duties. Specifically, one person was capable of handling all aspects of processing transactions from beginning to end. A lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of possible errors or irregularities; however, due to a limited number of personnel, an adequate segregation of duties is not possible without additional cost. Further, personnel are under the direction of both the Nebraska State Court Administrator and the Presiding Judge.

Additionally, we noted that access to signature stamps is not limited to the individual whose name is on the stamp. We have included this comment in previous examinations.

Good internal control includes a plan of organization, procedures, and documentation designed to safeguard assets and to provide reliable financial records. A system of internal control should include a proper segregation of duties, so no one individual is capable of handling all phases of a transaction from beginning to end.

We recommend the County Court and the Nebraska State Court Administrator review this situation. As always, the cost of hiring additional personnel versus the benefit of a proper segregation of duties must be weighed.

Clerk Magistrate's Response: While I understand that Madison County has multiple employees, each employee is assigned to a certain division of the Court and it is their responsibility for adding cases and follow through on the case, during which time all cases have fees with them, so that employee is responsible for receipting those funds and disbursement of those funds if necessary. I watch VOIDED receipts closely and case notes are always made of why a receipt was VOIDED. At the end of the day at least 2-3 times per week we trade our cash drawers and balance out someone else's cash drawer. This works well for my Court.

APA Response: We continue to recommend the Court review the situation to ensure one individual does not handle all aspects of a transaction from beginning to end since there are multiple employees available as noted in the Court's response. Furthermore, while voided receipts are closely monitored, the individual reviewing the receipts is also capable of entering voids into the system.

2. Monthly Report Review

During the audit, the following was noted:

- One bond held, totaling \$135, was not forfeited in compliance with the court order in a timely manner. The bond was ordered forfeited in August 2016; however, it was not applied to fines and costs until March 2017, over seven months later.
- One criminal case tested indicated probation and substance abuse testing fees, totaling \$330, were still owed; however, no action had been taken regarding this balance since September 2015.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

(Concluded)

Monthly Report Review (Concluded)

Good internal control and sound business practices require the County Court to have procedures for an ongoing, detailed review of monthly financial reports, including the Monthly Case Balance Report and Overdue Case Account Report, to ensure timely and appropriate follow-up action is taken to resolve any unattached and/or unusual balances found therein.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk of errors, omissions, and/or irregularities not being detected and resolved in a timely manner.

We recommend the County Court implement procedures for a detailed review of all monthly financial reports, including the Monthly Case Balance Report and Overdue Case Account Report, to resolve any unattached and/or unusual balances in a complete, accurate, and timely manner.

Clerk Magistrate's Response: The auditor found a bond that had not been forfeited, we do look at the bond lists occasionally so I am not sure why that one was missed. The bond was forfeited after the defendant was arrested on the warrant and staff discovered that the bond had not been paid out.

The other comment on a probation order that the Judge ordered that the outstanding probation fees would stand as a civil judgment and no action had been taken to collect the balance. At one point one of our Judges made this order for a short period of time, this is no longer a practice and it is the opinion of the Court the State could use that order to try and collect the outstanding fees, if the State does not want to collect it the Court will not take action.

We will continue to watch that all guidelines are followed to the best of our ability.



NEBRASKA AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Charlie Janssen
State Auditor

Charlie.Janssen@nebraska.gov
PO Box 98917
State Capitol, Suite 2303
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509
402-471-2111, FAX 402-471-3301
www.auditors.nebraska.gov

MADISON COUNTY COURT

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

Madison County Court Madison, Nebraska 68748

We have examined the accompanying Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of the Madison County Court as of and for the period July 1, 2015, to December 31, 2016, and the calendar year ending December 31, 2017. The County Court's management is responsible for the Schedules based on the accounting system and procedures set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Schedules based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedules are based on the accounting system and procedures set forth in Note 1, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Schedules, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions for the period July 1, 2015, to December 31, 2016, and the calendar year ending December 31, 2017, is based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court, as set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, abuse that is material to the Schedules, and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Schedules are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over the Schedules or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions. Our examination disclosed a certain finding that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and that finding, along with the views of management, is described in the Comments Section of the report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Supreme Court, others within the County Court, and the appropriate Federal and regulatory agencies, and it is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

June 7, 2018

Kris Kucera, CPA, CFE Audit Manager Lincoln, Nebraska

Lio Kucera

MADISON, NEBRASKA

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS AGENCY FUNDS

For the Period Ending December 31, 2016

	Balance				5 . 1		Balance	
	Ju	ly 1, 2015	Additions		Deductions		December 31, 2016	
ASSETS Cash and Deposits	\$	147,792	\$ 1	1,884,041	\$	1,895,029	\$	136,804
LIABILITIES								
Due to State Treasurer:								
Regular Fees	\$	11,896	\$	220,701	\$	222,399	\$	10,198
Law Enforcement Fees		998		21,335		21,267		1,066
State Judges Retirement Fund		3,096		80,522		79,260		4,358
Court Administrative Fees		7,693		160,766		159,955		8,504
Legal Services Fees		3,368		71,678		71,049		3,997
Due to County Treasurer:								
Regular Fines		22,270		509,724		508,076		23,918
Overload Fines		1,200		22,375		21,325		2,250
Regular Fees		1,086		36,675		34,856		2,905
Petty Cash Fund		250		250		-		500
Due to Municipalities:								
Regular Fines		9,981		132,026		136,170		5,837
Regular Fees		490		14,565		14,269		786
Trust Fund Payable		85,464		613,424		626,403		72,485
Total Liabilities	\$	147,792	\$	1,884,041	\$	1,895,029	\$	136,804

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.

MADISON, NEBRASKA

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ARISING FROM CASH TRANSACTIONS AGENCY FUNDS

For the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Balance					Balance		
	January 1, 2017		Additions		Deductions		December 31, 2017	
ASSETS Cash and Deposits	\$	136,804	\$	1,056,748	\$	1,064,986	\$	128,566
LIABILITIES Due to State Treasurer:								
Regular Fees	\$	10,198	\$	123,905	\$	125,336	\$	8,767
Law Enforcement Fees		1,066		12,440		12,557		949
State Judges Retirement Fund	[4,358		53,795		53,685		4,468
Court Administrative Fees		8,504		92,370		93,932		6,942
Legal Services Fees		3,997		47,196		47,643		3,550
Due to County Treasurer:								
Regular Fines		23,918		263,874		269,658		18,134
Overload Fines		2,250		8,500		10,375		375
Regular Fees		2,905		16,795		17,337		2,363
Petty Cash Fund		500		-		-		500
Due to Municipalities:								
Regular Fines		5,837		71,403		70,928		6,312
Regular Fees		786		4,764		5,309		241
Trust Fund Payable		72,485		361,706		358,226		75,965
Total Liabilities	\$	136,804	\$	1,056,748	\$	1,064,986	\$	128,566

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the schedule.

MADISON COUNTY COURT NOTES TO FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

For the Period Ended December 31, 2016, and Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2017

1. <u>Criteria</u>

A. Reporting Entity

The Madison County Court is established by State statute and is administratively operated through the Court Administrator's Office of the Nebraska Supreme Court, which is part of the State of Nebraska reporting entity. The Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions of the County Court reflect only the Agency Funds activity of the County Court, including the receipts and their subsequent disbursement to the appropriate entities for which they were collected. The Schedules do not reflect the personal services expenses of the County Court, which are paid by the Nebraska Supreme Court, or the operating expenses, which are paid by Madison County.

B. Basis of Accounting

The accounting records of the County Court Agency Funds are maintained, and the Schedules of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions have been prepared, based on the accounting system and procedures prescribed by the Nebraska Supreme Court. Under this system of accounting, fines, fees, and receipts relating to trust funds are shown as additions to assets and as an increase in the related liability when received. Likewise, disbursements are shown as deductions to assets and as a decrease in the related liability when a check is written.

2. Deposits and Investments

Funds held by the County Court are deposited and invested in accordance with rules issued by the Supreme Court, as directed by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2713 (Reissue 2016). Funds are generally consolidated in an interest-bearing checking account; however, the County Court may order certain trust funds to be invested separately. Any deposits in excess of the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation are required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-2326.04 (Reissue 2009) to be secured either by a surety bond or as provided in the Public Funds Deposit Security Act.