Dear Chairperson Christensen:

The Nebraska Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has reviewed the audit waiver request received from the Davenport Rural Fire District 9 (District) for the fiscal year ending 2020. That request has been approved.

While performing, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-304 (2020 Neb. Laws, LB 781, § 8), the preliminary examination necessary to determine whether further audit work would be required or the audit waiver should be allowed, the APA noted certain internal control or compliance matters, or other operational issues, within the District.

The following information is intended to improve internal controls or result in other operational efficiencies.

**Comments and Recommendations**

1. **Payments Not Approved**

The APA obtained a copy of the September 5, 2019, meeting minutes for the Board of Directors (Board) of the District. Those minutes fail to reflect the Board’s approval of any District expenditures. According to the District, the Board meets only once every year – meaning that any District expenditures for the year should have been both approved during that meeting and reflected in its minutes.

Per Neb. Rev. Stat. § 35-508(4) (Reissue 2016), Boards have the exclusive power to “manage and conduct the business affairs of the district.” Accordingly, any expenditure of District funds requires express Board authorization.

Additionally, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 35-511 (Reissue 2016) provides, in relevant part, the following:

> All donations, contributions, bequests, annuities, or borrowed money received by or on behalf of the district shall be deposited with the secretary-treasurer of the district and shall be drawn out only upon proper check. Such check shall be authorized by the board of directors and shall bear the signature of the secretary-treasurer and the countersignature of the president of such district.

(Emphasis added.) Properly discharging the above statutory duties necessarily entails proper financial management, which calls for the Board’s approval of all expenditures prior to, or as soon thereafter as possible, the actual disbursement of District funds.
As a public body, moreover, the Board is subject to the provisions of the Open Meetings Act, which is set out at Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-1407 (Reissue 2014) et seq. In particular, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-1413(1) (Cum. Supp. 2018) requires public bodies, including Boards, to “keep minutes of all meetings,” showing, among other things, “the substance of all matters discussed.”

Furthermore, a good internal control plan and sound business practices require procedures to ensure that the Board approves, in a timely fashion, all expenditures and business transactions of the District. Those same procedures should ensure also that the Board documents such approval in its meeting minutes, specifying the name of each payee, the exact amount of any disbursement, and the specific purpose of the payment.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only failure to comply with State statute but also loss or misuse of public funds.

    We recommend the implementation of procedures to ensure the Board approves, in a timely fashion, all expenditures of District funds, and such approval is documented adequately in the Board’s meeting minutes.

2. Lack of Dual Signatures

The APA obtained the monthly statements for the District’s bank accounts from its fiscal year 2020 audit waiver request. From those statements, the APA noted that all District checks written out of the account ending in 3436 during the examination period contained only one signature.

Nebraska law requires both the Secretary-Treasurer and the President of the District to sign all checks approved by the Board. In particular, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 35-511 (Reissue 2016) states that those checks “shall bear the signature of the secretary-treasurer and the countersignature of the president of such district.”

In addition, good internal controls and sound accounting practices require procedures to ensure that District checks contain the statutorily required endorsements.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only failure to comply with State statute but also loss or misuse of public funds.

    We recommend the Board implement procedures to require dual signatures, from both the Secretary-Treasurer and the President, on all District checks, as required by law.

3. Negative Bank Balance

During review of the bank statements obtained from the District’s audit waiver request, it was noted that the District’s bank account ending in 3436 had a negative balance of $2,026.97 on February 24, 2020.

Good internal control and sound business practices require procedures to ensure sufficient funds are available in the District’s bank accounts to pay claims.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only the loss, misuse, or theft of District funds but also the accumulation of overdraft fees.

    We recommend the District implement procedures to ensure sufficient funds are available in the District’s bank accounts to pay claims.
The preliminary planning work that resulted in this letter was designed primarily on a test basis and, therefore, may not bring to light all existing weaknesses in the District’s policies or procedures. Nevertheless, our objective is to use the knowledge gained during the performance of that preliminary planning work to make comments and suggestions that we hope will prove useful to the District.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the District and its management. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties. However, this letter is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

If you have any questions, please contact Dakota Christensen at 402-499-8702 or dakota.christensen@nebraska.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark Avery, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor