Dear Chairperson Baumann:

The Nebraska Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has reviewed the audit waiver request received from the Village of Grafton (Village) for the fiscal year ending 2020. That request has been approved.

While performing, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-304 (Cum. Supp. 2020), the preliminary examination necessary to determine whether the audit waiver should be allowed or further audit work would be required, the APA noted certain internal control or compliance matters, or other operational issues, within the Village.

The following information is intended to improve internal controls or result in other operational efficiencies.

**Comments and Recommendations**

1. **Lack of Dual Signatures**

   The APA obtained the bank statements for the Village’s accounts from its fiscal year 2020 audit waiver request. From these statements, the APA noted that three of the Village checks written during the examination period contained only one signature. An example of such checks is shown below.
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   State statute requires Village checks to be signed by both the Board Chairperson and the Village Clerk. Specifically, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-711 (Cum. Supp. 2020) provides the following:

   All warrants drawn upon the city treasurer of a city of the second class or village treasurer must be signed by the mayor or chairperson of the village board of trustees and countersigned by the city clerk or village clerk, stating the particular fund to which the same is chargeable, the person to whom payable, and for what particular object. No money shall be otherwise paid than upon such warrants so drawn. Each warrant shall specify the amount included in the adopted budget statement for such fund upon which it is drawn and the amount already expended of such fund.
Good internal control and sound accounting practices require procedures to ensure that Village checks contain the statutorily required endorsements. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk of not only failure to comply with State statute but also the loss and/or misuse of Village funds.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to require dual signatures, from the Board Chairperson and the Village Clerk, on all Village checks, as required by law.

2. **Payment of Unapproved Claim**

During our comparison of the Village’s bank account details to claims approved by the Board, the APA identified one check, totaling $106.04, which was paid but not included on the claims listing to be approved by the Board.

Details of the unapproved payment are included in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check Date</th>
<th>Name/Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Check #</th>
<th>Cleared Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/8/2020</td>
<td>Blackhills</td>
<td>$106.04</td>
<td>13361</td>
<td>9/14/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Good internal control requires procedures to ensure that all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for the loss or misuse of Village funds.

A similar issue was identified by the APA in a prior review and was disclosed to the Village in the APA’s letter dated July 29, 2019, which can be found on the APA’s website.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved.

3. **Payment of Claims Prior to Board Approval**

During our comparison of the Village’s bank account details to claims approved by the Board, the APA noted that one Village check, totaling $17.50, was issued before the underlying claim was approved by the Board.

The table below provides a summary of the premature payment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Name/Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Check Written Date</th>
<th>Days Paid Before Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/8/2020</td>
<td>Leslie Stevens</td>
<td>$17.50</td>
<td>8/31/2020</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-614(1) (Cum. Supp. 2020) sets out the proper method for the appropriation or payment of money by the Village, as follows:

> All ordinances and resolutions or orders for the appropriation or payment of money shall require for their passage or adoption the concurrence of a majority of all members elected to the city council in a city of the second class or village board of trustees . . . .

(Emphasis added.) Good internal control requires procedures to ensure that all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for the loss or misuse of Village funds.

A similar issue was identified by the APA in a prior review and was disclosed to the Village in the APA’s letter dated July 29, 2019, which can be found on the APA’s website.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved.
4. **Donation**

During our review of the bank statements for the Village, the APA noted that the District paid $1,000 to the Grafton Veteran’s Monument Fund (VMF). The APA requested the underlying supporting documentation for the payment; however, the Village stated this was a donation to the VMF to help cover engraving costs for a rock that is on display in the Village. A copy of the check is shown below.
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The Local Government Miscellaneous Expenditure Act (Act), which is set out at Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2201 (Reissue 2012) et seq., specifies various expenditures, aside from those otherwise authorized by law, that constitute allowable uses of public funds by designated political subdivisions. The provisions of the Act are made applicable to Villages, among numerous other public entities, by both subsections (2) and (3) of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2202 (Cum. Supp. 2020).

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2203 (Cum. Supp. 2020) of the Act enumerates the miscellaneous expenditures permitted by governing bodies of local governments. Donation payments are not found among that select statutory list of permissible expenditures. As a result, such disbursements must be considered disallowed by law.

Good internal control requires procedures to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Act.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only noncompliance with applicable statutory requirements but also loss or misuse of Village funds.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all Village purchases are allowable under State statute, including the provisions of the Act.

* * * * * *

The preliminary planning work that resulted in this letter was designed primarily on a test basis and, therefore, may not bring to light all existing weaknesses in the Village’s policies or procedures. Nevertheless, our objective is to use the knowledge gained during the performance of that preliminary planning work to make comments and suggestions that we hope will prove useful to the Village.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the Village and its management. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties. However, this letter is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

If you have any questions, please contact Dakota Christensen at 402-499-8702 or dakota.christensen@nebraska.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark Avery, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor