January 5, 2021

Bruce Fulk, Chairperson
Wallace Rural Fire District
PO Box 245
Wallace, NE 69169

Dear Chairperson Fulk:

The Nebraska Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has reviewed the audit waiver request received from the Wallace Rural Fire District (District) for the fiscal year ending 2020. That request has been approved.

While performing, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-304 (2020 Neb. Laws, LB 781, § 8), the preliminary examination necessary to determine whether further audit work would be required or the audit waiver should be allowed, the APA noted certain internal control or compliance matters, or other operational issues, within the District.

The following information is intended to improve internal controls or result in other operational efficiencies.

Comments and Recommendations

1. Payments to Volunteer Fire Department

During our review of the bank statements accompanying the District’s audit waiver request, the APA noted that the District paid $2,000 to the Wallace Volunteer Fire Department (Department). According to its Secretary-Treasurer, the District received a grant from Farm Credit Services, which was designated for assistance in purchasing a 12 lead device for the ambulance. The funds were transferred to the Department; however, the District was unable to provide documentation to support that the funds disbursed pursuant thereto were indeed used for fire equipment.

The Local Government Miscellaneous Expenditure Act (Act), which is found at Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2201 (Reissue 2012) et seq., places strict parameters upon certain expenditures of public funds by local governments, including rural and suburban fire protection districts.

Additionally, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 35-901(6) (Reissue 2016) requires the expenditure of all public funds by the Department to be approved by the “taxing authority supporting” it, namely the District, and properly published, as follows:

*All expenditures of public funds as defined in the Nebraska Budget Act for support of a volunteer department or its purposes shall be submitted as claims, approved by the taxing authority supporting such department or its purposes, and published as required by law. All such claims shall be properly itemized for proposed expenditure or reimbursement for costs already incurred and paid except as may be otherwise permitted pursuant to section 35-106.*

According to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-503(7) (Supp. 2019) of the Nebraska Budget Act, the term “public funds” means “all money, including nontax money, used in the operation and functions of governing bodies.” Any public funds
received by the Department attach to the District to which it belongs – and, therefore, may be assumed to be used for the operation and function of that governing political subdivision. Consequently, such funds are subject to both the requirements of the Act and § 35-901(6).

Furthermore, good internal controls require procedures to ensure that any expenditure of funds by the District, whether directly or through a designated recipient thereof, is not only for a statutorily allowable purpose but also in accordance with the underlying objective of that disbursement. Thus, when a volunteer fire department – or, for that matter, any other entity or person – receives such funds, the District should have procedures for documenting their appropriate use.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for the improper, if not prohibited, use of District funds.

We recommend the District implement procedures for documenting the expenditure of public funds by it, whether directly or otherwise, to ensure the proper use of those monies.

2. **Lack of Dual Signatures**

The APA obtained the monthly statements for the District’s bank accounts from its fiscal year 2020 audit waiver request. From those statements, the APA noted that one District check, totaling $724.81, written during the examination period contained only one signature, as shown below.
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Nebraska law requires both the Secretary-Treasurer and the President of the District to sign all checks approved by the Board. In particular, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 35-511 (Reissue 2016) states that those checks “shall bear the signature of the secretary-treasurer and the countersignature of the president of such district.”

In addition, good internal controls and sound accounting practices require procedures to ensure that District checks contain the statutorily required endorsements.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only failure to comply with State statute but also loss or misuse of public funds.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to require dual signatures, from both the Secretary-Treasurer and the President, on all District checks, as required by law.

3. **Potentially Disallowed Purchases**

During our review of the bank statements accompanying the District’s audit waiver request, the APA noted that the District wrote out one check to a floral shop, as shown below. According to the District’s Secretary-Treasurer, the check to the floral shop was for the purchase of flowers for a member’s funeral.
The Local Government Miscellaneous Expenditure Act (Act), which is set out at Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2201 (Reissue 2012) et seq., specifies various expenditures, aside from those otherwise authorized by law, that constitute allowable uses of public funds by designated political subdivisions. The provisions of the Act are made applicable to Districts, among numerous other public entities, by both subsections (2) and (3) of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2202 (Supp. 2019).

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 13-2203 (Supp. 2019) of the Act enumerates the miscellaneous expenditures permitted by governing bodies of local governments. Purchases of flowers – whether for funerals, memorials, or other personal occasions – are not found among that select statutory list of permissible expenditures. As a result, such disbursements must be considered disallowed by law.

On September 17, 1993, the Nebraska Accountability and Disclosure Commission adopted a document entitled “A Guideline to the Use of Public Funds by Cities and Villages – Revised” (Guideline). The Guideline addresses a number of different scenarios involving the expenditure of public funds. Though issued almost three decades ago, the Guideline remains relevant to various Nebraska public entities, including Districts.

Regarding the issue of flower and memorial purchases, the Guideline provides the following:

Question #6 – May municipal funds be expended for flowers and memorials for deceased elected officials, employees or their families?

Response – No.

Good internal control requires procedures to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Act.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for not only noncompliance with applicable statutory requirements but also loss or misuse of District funds.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all District purchases are allowable under State statute, including the provisions of the Act.

* * * * *

The preliminary planning work that resulted in this letter was designed primarily on a test basis and, therefore, may not bring to light all existing weaknesses in the District’s policies or procedures. Nevertheless, our objective is to use the knowledge gained during the performance of that preliminary planning work to make comments and suggestions that we hope will prove useful to the District.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the District and its management. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties. However, this letter is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.
If you have any questions, please contact Dakota Christensen at 402-499-8702 or dakota.christensen@nebraska.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark Avery, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor