February 28, 2022

Jamey Kershaw, Chairperson
Village of Silver Creek
PO Box 6
Silver Creek, NE 68663

Dear Chairperson Kershaw:

The Nebraska Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has reviewed the audit waiver request received from the Village of Silver Creek (Village) for the fiscal year ending 2021. That request has been approved.

While performing, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 84-304 (Supp. 2021), the preliminary examination necessary to determine whether the audit waiver should be allowed or further audit work would be required, the APA noted certain internal control or compliance matters, or other operational issues, within the Village.

The following information is intended to improve internal controls or result in other operational efficiencies.

Comments and Recommendations

1. Lack of Dual Signatures

The APA obtained the bank statements for the Village’s accounts from its fiscal year 2021 audit waiver request. From these statements, the APA noted that all of the Village checks written during the examination period out of the Village’s Lottery account contained only one signature. An example of such checks is shown below.

![Example Check]

State statute requires Village checks to be signed by both the Board Chairperson and the Village Clerk. Specifically, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-711 (Cum. Supp. 2020) provides the following:
All warrants drawn upon the city treasurer of a city of the second class or village treasurer must be signed by the mayor or chairperson of the village board of trustees and countersigned by the city clerk or village clerk, stating the particular fund to which the same is chargeable, the person to whom payable, and for what particular object. No money shall be otherwise paid than upon such warrants so drawn. Each warrant shall specify the amount included in the adopted budget statement for such fund upon which it is drawn and the amount already expended of such fund.

Good internal control and sound accounting practices require procedures to ensure that Village checks contain the statutorily required endorsements. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk of not only failure to comply with State statute but also the loss and/or misuse of Village funds.

A similar issue was identified by the APA in a prior review and was disclosed to the Village in the APA’s letter dated February 24, 2021, which can be found on the APA’s website. However, it does not appear this issue was corrected after the APA’s notification.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to require dual signatures, from the Board Chairperson and the Village Clerk, on all Village checks, as required by law.

2. Payment of Unapproved Claims

During our comparison of the Village’s bank account details to claims approved by the Board, the APA identified the following checks, totaling $881.48, which were paid but not included on the claims listing to be approved by the Board.

Details of the unapproved payments are included in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Vendor</th>
<th>Check Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Check #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE Dept of Revenue</td>
<td>1/21/21</td>
<td>$714.30</td>
<td>ACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Cellular</td>
<td>1/22/21</td>
<td>$167.18</td>
<td>ACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$881.48</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-614(1) (Supp. 2021) sets out the proper method for the appropriation or payment of money by the Village, as follows:

All ordinances and resolutions or orders for the appropriation or payment of money shall require for their passage or adoption the concurrence of a majority of all members elected to the city council in a city of the second class or village board of trustees.

(Emphasis added.) Good internal control requires procedures to ensure that all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for the loss or misuse of Village funds.

A similar issue was identified by the APA in a prior review and was disclosed to the Village in the APA’s letter dated February 24, 2021, which can be found on the APA’s website. However, it does not appear this issue was corrected after the APA’s notification.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved.

3. Payment of Claims Prior to Board Approval

During our comparison of the Village’s bank account details to claims approved by the Board, the APA noted that the following checks, totaling $1,950, were issued before the underlying claims were approved by the Board.
The table below provides a summary of the premature payments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Check Date</th>
<th>Days Paid Before Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Jilg</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>1/18/21</td>
<td>12/22/20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Czarnick</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>1/18/21</td>
<td>12/22/20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Robinson</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>1/18/21</td>
<td>12/22/20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,950.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>1/18/21</strong></td>
<td><strong>12/22/20</strong></td>
<td><strong>27</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-614(1) (Supp. 2021) sets out the proper method for the appropriation or payment of money by the Village, as follows:

> All ordinances and resolutions or orders for the appropriation or payment of money shall require for their passage or adoption the concurrence of a majority of all members elected to the city council in a city of the second class or village board of trustees.

(Emphasis added.) Good internal control requires procedures to ensure that all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved. Without such procedures, there is an increased risk for the loss or misuse of Village funds.

We recommend the Board implement procedures to ensure all claims are approved by the Board prior to payment and are adequately documented in the meeting minutes of the month in which they are approved.

4. **Possible Impermissible Gratuities**

During our review of the Board minutes dated January 18, 2021, we also noted the above checks in Comment #3 were listed with the purpose of “Christmas bonus” for each of the recipients. The holiday bonus payments at issue constitute gratuities, which appear problematic in light of the following statutory and constitutional considerations.

Article III, § 19, of the Nebraska Constitution contains the following prohibition against gratuitous payments to public employees:

> The Legislature shall never grant any extra compensation to any public officer, agent, or servant after the services have been rendered nor to any contractor after the contract has been entered into, except that retirement benefits of retired public officers and employees may be adjusted to reflect changes in the cost of living and wage levels that have occurred subsequent to the date of retirement.

The Nebraska Attorney General (Attorney General) has summarized this constitutional provision by explaining, “Nebraska law generally requires work be performed in order for payment to be received.” Op. Att’y Gen. 95071 (Sept. 13, 1995).

According to the Nebraska Supreme Court (Court), this constitutional prohibition is applicable to both the State and its many political subdivisions. Retired City Civilian Employees Club of City of Omaha v. City of Omaha Employees’ Retirement System et al., 199 Neb. 507, 512, 260 N.W.2d 472, 475 (1977). Consequently, the Village is subject to the injunction against gratuities.

Good internal control requires procedures to ensure that any payments, including bonuses, to Village employees are made in strict accordance with Article III, § 19.

Without such procedures, there is an increased risk of not only loss or misuse of public funds but also violation of the Nebraska Constitution.
We recommend the Village consult with the Village Attorney, County Attorney, or Nebraska Attorney General regarding the permissibility of giving employee bonuses.

* * * * * *

The preliminary planning work that resulted in this letter was designed primarily on a test basis and, therefore, may not bring to light all existing weaknesses in the Village’s policies or procedures. Nevertheless, our objective is to use the knowledge gained during the performance of that preliminary planning work to make comments and suggestions that we hope will prove useful to the Village.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the Village and its management. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties. However, this letter is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

If you have any questions, please contact Dakota Christensen at 402-499-8702 or dakota.christensen@nebraska.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark Avery, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor